

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO



REPORT
ON THE
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
GENERAL ELECTIONS
1956

PREPARED BY THE SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS

*(Pursuant to Section 48, subsection 5 of the Legislative Council
(Elections) Ordinance, 1950)*

CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	5-25
TABLES :	
1—Summary of Votes Cast	26
2—Number of Electors, Number of Votes cast, Number of Votes rejected and Percentages	26
3—Number of Polling Stations, Average Number of Electors per Polling Station and Average Number of Voters per Polling Station	27
4—Votes cast for Candidates and Rejected Votes, Percentages of Total Votes cast and Majority of first Candidate over second	28-31
5—Recapitulation Sheets giving Details of Voting in each Polling Station	32-71
6—Number of Seats contested by Parties, Number of Seats secured, Number of Votes cast for Parties, Electorate in Seats contested by Parties, percentage of Votes cast for Parties of such Electorate, Votes cast in Seats contested by Parties, percentage of Votes cast for Parties of such Votes and percentage of Votes cast for Parties of Total Electorate and Total Vote	72
7—Votes by respective Parties (8) and all Independents (39) in the several Constituencies and Electorate in each Constituency	73
APPENDICES :	
I—Names, Addresses, Occupations and Party Affiliations of Candidates by Constituency	75-82
II—Registration Officers and Returning Officers by Constituency	83
III—Statement of Expenditure	84

REPORT ON THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL GENERAL ELECTIONS, 1956

1. The New Constitution

1. By the previous General Elections a new Legislature was constituted in the year 1950. Under section 62(2) of the Trinidad and Tobago (Constitution) Order in Council, 1950, it is mandatory on the Governor to dissolve the Legislative Council at the expiration of five years from the date of the return of the first writ at the last preceding General Election. The relevant date was the 26th September, 1950, and as a consequence the Legislature should have been dissolved not later than the 26th September, 1955.

2. A request for a new constitution was however sent up to the Secretary of State in 1955 and this was linked with a request that the dissolution of the Council should be postponed until the new constitution could be brought in. The latter request was accepted by the Secretary of State and as a result the Trinidad and Tobago (Legislative Council—Extension of Duration) Order in Council, 1955, was made on the 8th September, 1955, and came into force on the 14th September, 1955. By this order the life of the Council was extended by eight months, i.e. until the 26th May, 1956.

3. Even with this extension of time it was not possible to have the order in Council for the new constitution ready before the date when the compilation of the electoral lists for the pending election should have commenced, and for provision for the increased elected membership of the Council to be made. An enabling order, The Trinidad and Tobago (Electoral Provisions) Order in Council, 1956, was therefore made and came into force on the 28th March, 1956.

4. Even before the Electoral Provisions Order in Council had come into force an Electoral Districts Delimitation Committee consisting of all the members of the Legislative Council with the Deputy Speaker as Chairman was set up on the 9th March, 1956, by the Legislative Council. By the end of April the Committee had decided on twenty-four new constituencies for Trinidad and Tobago. The report of the Committee was published as Council Paper, No. 14 of 1956.

5. The Order in Council bringing the new constitution into force was made on the 1st June, 1956, and came into force by proclamation on the 3rd September, 1956. It may be cited as the Trinidad and Tobago (Constitution) (Amendment) Order in Council, 1956. Its only effect on the electoral procedure was that twenty-four electoral districts had to be provided instead of eighteen.

2. New Legislation

6. In 1955 it was thought advisable to include the members of the Special Reserve Police in the special arrangements for Police voters as their services are required on election day during the entire period of the voting. An amending ordinance was therefore passed in 1955 to enable this to be done. The short

title of the ordinance is the Legislative Council (Elections) (Amendment) Ordinance, 1955, No. 35 of 1955, which amends the principal ordinance, The Legislative Council (Elections) Ordinance, Ch. 2. No. 2.

7. In 1956, before the commencement of the elections, it was found necessary to enact two further amending Ordinances. The first, The Legislative Council (Elections) (Amendment) Ordinance, 1956, No. 20 of 1956, made provision for the use of electoral ink for finger staining as a means of preventing personation. The use and purpose of this will be dealt with in more detail later. It also gave the Governor authority to direct by proclamation at any time that a list of electors should be compiled, the enumeration commencing on a day appointed by such proclamation. By this means it became possible to compile a list for a bye-election which was not possible before, and it removed the difficulty experienced in 1955 of having illegally to refrain from carrying out an enumeration while waiting for a decision on the new constitution, as the principal ordinance required that lists of electors should be compiled not later than five years after the last preceding general election. The amending ordinance of course validated this illegal act. The new ordinance also made it an offence to publish an election poster at election time without including on the face of it the name of the printer or publisher, it considerably increased the penalty for personation, it provided a general penalty for illegal practices and increased the penalty for publishing a false statement of fact about the personal character of a candidate or prospective candidate.

8. After the Electoral Districts Delimitation Committee had submitted its report, the Legislative Council (Elections) (Amendment No. 2) Ordinance, 1956, No. 31 of 1956, was passed. This Ordinance amended the Third Schedule of the principal ordinance, replacing the boundaries of the previous constituencies by those of the twenty-four new constituencies. Certain consequential amendments in the body of the principal ordinance were also made. The ordinance also repealed the Fourth Schedule of the principal ordinance which appointed certain officers as Registration Officers and gave the Governor the power to appoint these officers on the recommendation of the Supervisor of Elections. Other amendments made it clear that a candidate could be nominated for one electoral district only, made it possible for a poll clerk taking over from a presiding officer to appoint a person to act as poll clerk, and for an authorised agent to consent to nomination on behalf of a prospective candidate who was absent from the Colony, and increased the deposit on nomination from one hundred and twenty dollars to two hundred and fifty dollars.

9. Important changes were made in the Registration Rules which are set out in the Second Schedule to the principal ordinance. Previously all dates for the different steps in the registration procedure were tied to nomination day. The new rules, which were brought in as the Legislative Council (Elections) (Registration) (Amendment) Regulations, 1956, linked all such dates to a date appointed by the Governor in his proclamation setting the enumeration into motion. The new rules also enabled enumerators in rural areas to enter

names in their enumeration books by households rather than alphabetically. The reason for this is explained in a later paragraph. The opportunity was also taken to amend by these regulations certain forms that required amendment.

10. The complete list of amendments to the Registration Rules and Elections Regulations, which were passed in 1955 and 1956, is as follows :—

- (a) The Legislative Council (Elections) (Amendment) Regulations, 1955.
- (b) The Legislative Council (Elections) (Amendments) (Registration) Regulations, 1956.
- (c) The Legislative Council (Elections) (Amendment) Regulations, 1956.
- (d) The Legislative Council (Elections) (Amendment No. 2) Regulations, 1956.

The amendments to the Registration Rules were dealt with in paragraph 9 above. Other minor amendments were made at the same time. The amendments to The Elections Regulations dealt mainly with increases in the rates of payment of election officers. For details of these, reference should be made to the relevant rules.

3. Electoral Districts

11. As mentioned in paragraph 4, the redefinition of electoral districts was carried out by the Electoral Districts Delimitation Committee. This redefinition had to be an entirely new one because under the provisions of the new Constitution 24 instead of 18 electoral districts had to be defined.

12. The committee in its report mentioned the principles under which it worked. These were that the division should be based not only on population but should also take into consideration geographical features, economic status and importance and any other special factor that might apply to any particular area or division. An attempt was made to keep constituency boundaries within the boundaries of the counties but it was not found possible to do so and as a result a certain amount of overlapping of the boundaries of the counties of St. George, Caroni, Victoria, Nariva and St. Andrew occurred.

13. It will be found that the constituencies in the towns of Port-of-Spain and San Fernando are on the whole smaller in electoral population than those in rural areas. This was done in recognition of their economic status and importance.

14. In the final result there still remains a great disparity between the constituencies as far as electoral population is concerned. The smallest constituency, Caroni South, has a population of 10,129 and the largest, Laventille, 19,025. The variation between these limits is undoubtedly great and some further redefinition of boundaries is obviously necessary.

4. Polling Divisions

15. The division of the constituencies into polling divisions was done by the Registration Officers who had served in the preparations for the County

Councils General Elections which began late in 1955. Preparations for the elections at this stage had to be rushed because the enabling legislation was passed only on the 18th May and came into force on the 31st. The date fixed for the commencement of the enumeration being the 15th June the time for carrying out this work was dangerously short. As much preparatory work as could be done was done even before the amending ordinance was passed, but the scope of this was necessarily limited. In the circumstances the officers concerned did a very good job of work and only in the electoral district of Laventille were there instances of polling divisions having an electoral population of over 1,000. Within the limited time available it was not possible for the Electoral Office to make a complete check of all boundaries.

16. Before the work of division actually started meetings were held with the Registration Officers to discuss all difficulties and lay down a plan of action. Because of the more complicated voting procedure envisaged it was agreed that lists, and as a consequence polling divisions, should be smaller. Registration Officers were therefore instructed to work on a basis of about 400 electors per polling division instead of 450 as prescribed by the Elections Ordinance. Any polling divisions defined for the County Councils Elections which were found to have electoral populations of over 600 were to be subdivided. In the result 939 polling divisions were established, which, with an electoral population of 339,028, gave an average of 361 per polling division. This was not remarkably low however as it compared very closely with the averages of 369 and 373 in 1946 and 1950 respectively. For voting purposes, however, a still lower average was required and other measures were taken which are given below.

17. Due to the shortage of time the preparation of the maps shewing polling divisions had to be rushed. There was no time to have carefully drawn maps prepared by the Lands and Surveys Department, and to have boundaries checked there. Instead the Registration Officers put in the boundaries themselves on ward sheets covering their respective districts. As mentioned above, the checking of descriptions of boundaries and maps by the Electoral Office had to be considerably curtailed.

18. In spite of this the division was well done if the number of complaints about it is used as a criterion. In actual fact the Electoral Office received no complaints whereas in previous elections many complaints were received. The department, however, considers that some divisions were unsatisfactory for the reason that they were too large and intends that sub-divisions will be made before the next election.

19. The descriptions of boundaries of polling divisions were published in the *Royal Gazette* of 14th June, 1956, the day before the date fixed for the commencement of the enumeration. As was done in 1950 the descriptions for each constituency were kept separate and it was therefore possible for an interested person to purchase the information for the constituency he was interested in as a separate set.

5. The Enumeration

20. The proclamation of the Governor ordering the commencement of the enumeration was issued on the 7th June, 1956. The appointed day for commencing the enumeration was fixed for the 15th June.

21. Previous to this the Governor, on the recommendation of the Supervisor of Elections, appointed twenty-four Registration Officers. The former practice of appointment of a Registration Officer for more than one Electoral District was discontinued as it was felt that in previous elections too much work was put on those who were assigned to more than one constituency. The results eventually justified this decision. The Supervisor of Elections also appointed an Assistant Registration Officer in each electoral district, and again subsequent events justified their appointments. As this unusually large number of Registration Officers was appointed it was not possible to select them exclusively from the Wardens, Assistant Wardens, Town Clerks and Deputy Town Clerks as was done for previous elections and suitable persons had to be found elsewhere. Thus appointments were made of officers from other Government Departments, and in addition retired Civil Servants and the Manager of a public utility were called upon. The list of Registration Officers is to be found in Appendix II.

22. The Registration Officers, immediately after appointment, selected their enumerators, and as was customary, the Supervisor of Elections, with the help of Mr. E. Deane who was assisting him, instructed the enumerators verbally in their duties. Extra care was taken, using available information, in the selection of enumerators to avoid employing persons with strong political leanings or who had identified themselves very positively with the election campaigns of any prospective candidates.

23. Normally the instruction of enumerators would have started two or three weeks before the commencement of the enumeration, but due to the late commencement of the preparatory work as mentioned in paragraph 15, the work commenced only a week before the first day of enumeration. This will not be repeated in future elections so long as time is available. A Book of Instructions to Enumerators (Book B) was supplied to each enumerator.

24. The Record Books given to enumerators for registering the names of electors, as explained in paragraph 10 above, were re-designed to enable them to enumerate by households instead of alphabetically. This made it possible for Supervisors of Enumerators to carry out a better check than was secured in previous elections. The Supervisors were each given special written instructions in their duties. They were to receive from day to day or as convenient, duplicates of the enumerators entries in their Record Books. Using this information, they were then to follow behind the enumerators and carry out a spot check of their work. They were also instructed to give enumerators as much help as they could in their difficulties and to act as liaison officers between the enumerators and the Registration Officers. The new arrangements proved eminently satisfactory judging from the fact that 23,000 names more than

was estimated were listed. The preliminary lists gave a total of 335,500 names. As a consequence it is intended to retain the Supervisors of Enumerators in future elections as they proved to be of much greater assistance than before.

25. One of the factors contributing to the improvement of the enumeration was the extension of the time allowed for enumeration in the field. In 1950, the period was increased from 7 days, as it was in 1946, to 10 days. For this election the period was increased further to 14 days. This gave, on the whole, ample time for the enumerators to cover their districts and also to pay second or third visits in many instances. Another factor was the provision of badges for enumerators which enabled them to identify themselves as the official enumerators in cases where members of the public were unwilling to co-operate because they thought they were being approached by canvassers of the candidates.

26. As in 1950, use was made of Form A 10. On this form information was given as to the polling division number; the name and address of the enumerator; the places at which the preliminary lists would be posted up; the address of the Registration Officer of the district and the details of the procedure for revision of the lists with relevant dates. Enumerators were instructed to leave one of these at each household visited. One hundred and fifty thousand of these forms were printed.

27. Extensive measures were taken to educate the public in the details of the enumeration procedure. As an entire section of this report gives information about this instructional work no details will be given here.

28. A large number of complaints were received at this stage of the enumeration. The view is taken, however, that this was not necessarily a sign that the enumeration was bad but that the interest of the public in the enumeration was heightened both by the instructional campaign and also by the interest of the public in the elections due to the extraordinary political issues involved, and the great political activity of more than one of the parties. Evidence of this interest was implicit in the fact that even before the enumeration started letters were received warning the Electoral Office of possible attempts to falsify the lists and even in some instances information was given of specific attempts that would be made. The department welcomed these complaints because it enabled errors to be corrected before it was too late and helped the Registration Officers in keeping their subordinates on their toes by bringing to their notice errors they had made very soon after they had been made. All of the complaints were not substantiated. For instance very positive complaints were received from one of the Parties that Shanty Town had not been enumerated, but prompt investigation shewed that on the contrary the enumeration was quite good. Many complaints were about individual omissions and these were always promptly reported to the Registration Officers who took whatever action was possible. Among these complaints were complaints of individuals being left out or households not visited; complaints that Form A 10 had not been left, that enumerators were canvassing for prospective candidates and that persons who were not qualified had been enumerated. In the electoral

district of St. Joseph a complaint of large scale omission and misspelling was received from a prospective candidate. This was investigated by the Registration Officer but the complaint on the whole was made only in general terms. The large number of claim forms received undoubtedly corrected many of these errors. A further complaint from the same source of false names being sent in on claim forms was not substantiated. A case of gross falsification was discovered in the electoral district of Caroni North. The enumerator was prosecuted and eventually a conviction was secured. A very common complaint at this time was received from persons who were otherwise qualified but had unfortunately moved from one electoral district to another within six months of the enumeration and thereby became disfranchised. The fact is that under the terms of the franchise such persons are indeed disqualified and many of them have expressed great disappointment at not being able to exercise their civic right and some have been very scathing in their remarks about the wisdom of those who framed the qualifications of electors. Quite an appreciable number of persons are disfranchised in this way and there would seem to be good reason for considerably reducing the period of residence if not entirely removing it.

6. The Revision of the Lists

29. After the completion of the enumeration the enumerators made copies of their lists on forms provided arranging the names in alphabetical order in rural districts and according to streets and house numbers in urban districts. On receipt of these the Registration Officers immediately proceeded to have them copied on to wax stencils by a number of typists, and thirty copies were run off on duplicating machines. This was the first time that a large number of copies of the preliminary lists was prepared. The reasons for this are given below.

30. In previous elections it had been found that preliminary lists posted up in the polling divisions were very often torn down. This was a great hindrance to the revision procedure as the public was not easily able to scrutinise them for errors and omissions. In this election, Registration Officers were instructed to visit periodically the places where lists were posted up and to replace any that had been torn down. Wherever possible the occupiers of premises where lists were posted up were asked to keep a copy of the list and to supply information to any member of the public who could not find the list posted up outside. This could not be insisted upon but it was asked as a favour, and it is gratifying to report that many occupiers were willing to assist. As it was felt that one of the reasons for lists being torn down was that prospective candidates wanted early copies of the lists at this stage and tore them down for their own purposes, Registration Officers were instructed to supply each prospective candidate, free of cost, with one complete set of the lists for his constituency. It is believed that these measures helped considerably in making the lists available to the public judging from the fact that very few complaints were received from persons about not being able to see the lists. The typing of the lists was completed in 14 days and lists were posted up in the polling divisions on the 15th July.

31. Although the enumeration was good, yet it was not perfect and complaints were received of large blocks of electors being left out in certain districts. About seven complaints of this nature were received. Fortunately, early notice of these was given and Registration Officers were able to take measures to see that the persons concerned had claim forms and were able to send them in in time. Other complaints on a smaller scale were all dealt with promptly by Registration Officers. Few, if any, large omissions were left in the lists. At this period a complaint of large scale inaccuracies and discrepancies affecting approximately 9 per cent. of the electoral roll in the constituency of San Fernando West was made by a major Political Party. It was claimed that there were 34 duplications, 310 names misspelt, 138 false names, and 400 omissions. The complaint did not give full details of the inaccuracies and it mentioned a comparatively small number of specific instances. The Supervisor of Elections travelled around the constituency and personally investigated the specific instances mentioned in company with the Registration Officer, the Assistant Registration Officer and the Supervisors of Enumerators. The investigation was not exhaustive and could not have been so because time was not available. Evidence of duplication was found, but 21 of these were due to an error made by an enumerator who included in this polling division a section that belonged to another polling division that had already been enumerated. This was corrected. Inaccuracies in names were also found but many of these were corrected by claims for correction submitted by the persons concerned. The complaint about the insertion of false names, which was considered the most serious part of the complaint because if substantiated it might have opened the question whether an attempt had been made to falsify the lists, was investigated on the spot, but all specific instances quoted were not substantiated. A detailed list of omitted names was promised but not received. Claim forms for the insertion of names were made available in liberal quantities and in as many places in San Fernando as possible. A notice was inserted in the newspapers indicating where these forms could be obtained. Of 397 claims for insertion received, 351 were accepted. This was a large proportion of the 400 omissions claimed. It is admitted that because of the nature of the investigation the complaint of the party could not be said to have been entirely disproved and further investigation might be advisable. This is a matter under consideration. It would be advisable also, if an investigation should be made into this enumeration, that similar investigations should be carried out in other electoral districts where the number of complaints and objections was large, such as in the constituencies of Tunapuna and St. Joseph.

32. During this period a problem of distribution of claim and objection forms arose, as had happened in previous elections. The department's policy has been to distribute these forms to the persons actually concerned and not to other persons who ask for them in bulk. The danger of issuing forms in bulk is that attempts may be made to falsify the lists by sending in false claims or objections. In actual fact a number of cases of forgery of these forms were detected and the effort was made to institute proceedings. It was, however, difficult to trace their origin. On the other hand the distribution of forms in

bulk to interested parties who might honestly assist the more ignorant members of the community in filling out their forms and sending in legitimate claims would be a desirable thing and would certainly help in correcting mistakes and omissions in the lists. It is intended therefore to suggest measures whereby distribution could be carried out in bulk. As regards objection forms, the policy of not distributing in bulk was followed at first, but in view of the fact that it was not reasonable to deny these forms to a person who claimed he had seen several reasons for objection in a list, the policy was relaxed and a more liberal distribution allowed. The total number of claims, objections and notices of residence received was 6,543. This compares favourably with the 6,602 forms received during the 1950 elections. Expressing each of these two numbers as a percentage of the total electorate, we find that in both cases a percentage of 1.9 is obtained. The total electorate after all insertions were made amounted to 339,000, representing an increase of 3,500 over the preliminary figures.

33. In order to enable Registration Officers to get through all their investigations into claims and objections in districts where large numbers of these were received authority was given for them to employ additional Assistant Registration Officers. These officers were of great assistance and enabled the Registration Officers to verify a large majority of the claims and objections received.

7. Police Voters

34. As mentioned above, provision was made to bring the Special Reserve Police under the arrangements for Police voters. By these arrangements policemen are enumerated like other electors by the enumerators and their names are extracted from the preliminary lists and put on special police voters lists. Enumerators were instructed to take special care about these names, to visit police stations within their polling divisions and to put a star opposite the names of any policemen enumerated so as to make the extraction of names easy. In spite of this the enumeration of the Police was bad and in all 364 names were not included on the police voters lists. Some of these names were on the general lists, but were not extracted because they were not identified as policemen. The Commissioner of Police was asked to allow as many of these as was possible to vote on Election Day. Although the omissions were thereby partly corrected, the position was not at all satisfactory and measures will have to be taken in the future to ensure that the Police are enabled to see their lists at an early date and to send in claims for insertion at the proper time.

8. Printing of the Finally Revised Lists

35. A new method of printing the finally revised lists was adopted. This was the offset method in which lists are typed on special sheets called "Plastiplates" or "Duplimats" which without further process go on to special machines called "Multiliths" for printing. No special training is required for the typists and each was merely given a sheet of instructions. As was done with the preliminary lists a large number were employed. As the Government Printer had only one "Multilith", assistance was enlisted from machines at the Port-of-Spain City Council, the Caribbean Commission, the Colonial Life

Insurance Co., Messrs. British and American Shipping Co., and Messrs. Pereira & Co. In all, eight machines were available. The majority of the printing was done in the last three weeks of August although printing actually started early in August, but at that stage only a trickle of sheets was coming in. Because time was not available for all the printing to be done on the "Multiliths" lists for two electoral districts and part of a third were done by the Government Printer by conventional printing methods. An additional "Multilith" would have easily accommodated this work.

36. The advantages of this method are a saving of both time and money, with possibly the added advantage that the reproduction of the lists is more under the control of the Registration Officers with whom the responsibility for their accuracy lies. Time is saved by cutting down the number and simplifying the nature of the operations necessary for supplying material for the printing machines. The difference in expenditure of the two methods is difficult to estimate but some indication is given in the section on "Expenditure". On the whole the new method proved extremely successful and there seems to be no reason why it should not be continued.

37. By a proclamation dated the 1st September, 1956, the date for coming into force of the finally revised lists was declared to be the 3rd September.

9. The Writs of Election

38. The writs of election were issued on the 21st August, 1956. By then Nomination Day was fixed for the 6th September and Polling Day for the 24th September. The writs were returnable not later than the 10th October.

10. Preparations for the Election

39. The policy adopted in former elections was in some instances to assign more than one electoral district to a Returning Officer. In this election that policy was abandoned and a Returning Officer and an Election Clerk were appointed for each of the twenty-four constituencies. All of the Registration Officers except two became Returning Officers. The two who did not continue were in the San Fernando constituencies. One had to discontinue because of ill health and the other through pressure of work. The new members were both civil servants. Details of these officers are given in Appendix II.

40. Just as much care in the selection of Presiding Officers and Poll Clerks was exercised as in the case of the selection of the enumerators. Unfortunately, the extra care exercised caused a certain amount of embarrassment near polling day when on information received considerable numbers of prospective Presiding Officers and Poll Clerks had to be changed at the last moment. In future, if similar care is to be exercised, the selection of these officers will have to commence at a much earlier date.

41. Due to the difficulty mentioned above Returning Officers were not always able in the short time at their disposal to get fresh election officers who resided in their constituencies. As a result many Presiding Officers and Poll Clerks were taken from outside the constituencies to which they were appointed and when they found that they would thereby lose their votes many of them

refused to serve. This caused further embarrassment and new officers had again to be appointed at very short notice. This difficulty was widespread and occurred in most of the electoral districts. Measures will have to be taken to avoid this and a possibility would be the introduction of a system of postal voting.

42. Great care was also exercised in the selection of polling stations and in particular Returning Officers were instructed not to select places that had been used previously for any political purposes nor where liquor was ordinarily sold. The same embarrassment as occurred with the selection of presiding officers occurred in the selection of polling stations and to prevent a repetition their selection will in future elections have to be made earlier.

43. Because of the introduction of the finger staining procedure, it was anticipated that the rate of voting would be slower than previously. As a consequence, it was decided to increase considerably the number of polling stations. Returning Officers were therefore instructed to divide all lists of over 450 electors. As a result although the number of electoral lists was 939 the number of polling stations was 1,137, an increase of 198. This provision proved quite adequate and as Table 3 shows, the average electorate per polling station was 298 and the average number of voters per station was 239. There were no complaints of inadequacy in the voting arrangements.

11. Publicity

44. New ground was broken in the publicity campaign which was conducted both on an extensive and an intensive scale. This was made possible by the temporary appointment of a retired public officer to assist the Supervisor in this aspect of his work.

45. The main features of the publicity campaign were :—

(i) *Guidance Notes, No. 1*—a pamphlet covering all aspects of enumeration and preparation of the Preliminary List of electors, the making of claims and objections, and the revision of the lists to become the certified lists of electors.

(ii) *Guidance Notes, No. 2*—dealing with the qualifications and disqualifications of candidates for election to the Legislative Council; the procedure for nominating a candidate, and the process of voting, including finger staining.

(iii) The issue of nearly a thousand full sized posters reminding eligible persons of important dates relating to the filing of claims and objections in respect of the Preliminary List of electors.

(iv) *Election Don'ts*—a pamphlet epitomising the several broadcasts on election offences and emphasising those procedures which the elector must observe upon entering a Polling Station, in order to be able to cast his vote and have his marked ballot paper placed in the ballot box.

(v) *Election Offences*—a publication in the two morning daily newspapers comprising a list of election offences. Copies of these were posted up for inspection at the established offices of the Returning Officers.

(vi) *Spot Announcements*—short announcements made over Radio Trinidad dealing with (a) enumeration, (b) election offences, and (c) Polling Day.

(vii) *Disc Recordings*—recorded talks emphasising the salient points relating to the enumeration and outlining election offences.

(viii) The issue of the July-August number of *Community Education* (the Journal of the Education Extension Service) as an Election Edition with special articles on (a) the Importance of Voting and Secrecy of the Ballot (b) an explanation of the new constitution, (c) how to be nominated and (d) voting procedure. By courtesy of the Acting Director of Lands and Surveys reproduction was permitted of the Electoral Map of the Colony (excluding Port-of-Spain and San Fernando) supplied with Council Paper No. 52 of 1956. As a supplement there was a full-page drawing illustrating the several phases of voting.

(ix) *Personal Contact with Candidates*—the distribution to every candidate of the following material :—

- (a) A pamphlet on rights and obligations of candidates and their Agents (prepared by the Supervisor of Elections). One copy was supplied for every agent on Polling Day.
- (b) A pamphlet on instructions to agents at the final count (also prepared by the Supervisor of Elections).
- (c) A list of election offences.
- (d) A copy of *Guidance Notes No. 2*.
- (e) A copy of *Election Don'ts*.

By this means direct contact was established with every candidate.

(x) *Broadcasts*—between 8th May and September 23rd twenty-two talks were delivered over Radio Trinidad. Two of these dealt exclusively with the New Constitution and the greater responsibility given to the elected representatives of the people. In four of these talks, emphasis was laid upon the changes in the law relating to the Legislative Council Elections brought about by amending Ordinances No. 20 of 1956 and No. 31 of 1956 mentioned in paragraphs 7 and 8 above. In these attention was drawn to changes in the law, the finger staining procedure was explained (to which at first there seemed to be much objection in the mistaken belief that the procedure was the same as finger printing) and personation was warned against. Other talks were devoted to explaining the machinery provided for obtaining the name of every eligible elector, the procedure for voting and the security provided against molestation of any kind and the exercise of undue pressure and the means of securing the secrecy of the ballot. At least three of these broadcasts dealt with the importance of voting and the duty of the citizen to vote.

46. Thirty thousand of each of the *Guidance Notes* were printed and distributed throughout the Colony. Distribution was effected through (a) the various religious denominations, (b) Head Teachers of schools, (c) Friendly

Societies, (d) Trade Unions, (e) Literary and Debating Bodies, (f) Recreation Clubs, (g) Field Officers of the Education Extension Service, (h) all voluntary organizations connected with the Education Extension Service, especially Village Councils and Women's Groups.

47. The *Election Don'ts*, 50,000 copies of which were printed, were distributed similarly. The Secretary or other leading officer of every political party was supplied by post with copies of each of the *Guidance Notes* and the *Election Don'ts*, and advised that further copies were available. The Party of Political Progress Groups and the Peoples' National Movement, also the West Indian Independence Party and the Caribbean Peoples' Democratic Party (to a limited extent) availed themselves largely of this offer in respect of *Guidance Notes No. 1*. With respect to *Guidance Notes No. 2* and *Election Don'ts* the P.N.M., the Peoples' Democratic Party and the P.O.P.P.G. applied for and each received several hundred copies.

48. The Commissioner of Police was supplied with over 1,000 copies of *Election Don'ts* for distribution to out stations in addition to about 500 copies supplied to the Superintendent, Northern Division. There is reason for believing that the knowledge on the part of the Police of the specific directions in which the electorate was being asked to co-operate and along which it was sought to direct their minds, assisted greatly in securing the co-operation of the general public.

49. There can be no doubt also that public interest, which the issue of *Guidance Notes No. 1* had aroused, increased greatly by the time of issue of the second set of these notes and of the *Election Don'ts*. Evidence of this was found in the demand made for these two latter by callers at the Electoral Office, and the use made of them by certain political parties.

50. The spot announcements were very effectively made, several times each day, during the period immediately preceding the enumeration and during the enumeration. At a later stage, they were directed to reminding electors of the posting up for inspection of the preliminary lists of electors, the gradually reducing number of days left for inspecting these lists and for filing claims and objections. Attention was also given to indicating places where the relevant forms would be obtained.

51. With appropriate variation the same procedure was observed with respect to election offences relating to public meetings, the voting procedure with emphasis upon finger-staining, and the dangers of personation. Unfortunately, the range of influence was restricted to the radius to which the Redifusion Service extends and to owners of private receiving sets who were inclined to tune in.

52. Thanks to the co-operation of the Chief Education Extension Officer, the recordings dealing with enumeration were played on the gramophone attachment of each of the Mobile Units operated by the Department. Colony-wide coverage was thereby obtained as one operates at Tobago, another in South Trinidad and a third in North Trinidad.

53. Figures supplied by the Chief Education Extension Officer show that in North Trinidad they were played in 37 villages to an estimated audience of 11,000, while in South Trinidad they were played 30 times to an audience of 9,000. In Tobago, they were played on 24 occasions to approximately 6,000 persons. The same estimate can be applied to the second set of recordings of *Election Don'ts*. Opportunity was taken on the occasion of the playing of these recordings to distribute hundreds of copies of the related scripts.

54. The Police gave valuable help in posting up the 1,000 odd enumeration posters throughout the Colony. Travelling Officers of the Information Officer's Department gave similar help in having these posters exhibited on the Department's seventy odd display boards erected at focal points throughout the Colony and at other points, and in the distribution of *Guidance Notes No. 1*.

55. Press reaction to the broadcasts was good on the whole, and in some instances they were published almost verbatim.

12. Personation

56. Indications have been noticed for some time that personation was being used by some unscrupulous candidates as a means of winning a seat. It was not possible to estimate on how extensive a scale it was practised but the commission of the offence on whatever scale was something to be stopped. A campaign to eradicate personation was therefore planned. The problem was attacked from two angles : (a) prevention and (b) detection and prosecution.

57. The two main methods of prevention adopted were : (a) education and (b) the finger-staining procedure. As regards the former it was known that the offence was often committed by persons who were persuaded to do so but who were quite ignorant of the seriousness of the offence and the gravity of the consequences. Great emphasis was laid therefore in the instructional campaign on what personation is, on the moral and civic aspects involved and on the penalties for its commission. Full details about the procedure to adopt when personation was suspected was given to Presiding Officers when they were being instructed and they were urged to do their best to prevent the commission of the offence. Written supplementary instructions were also handed out to them. In the pamphlet on "The Rights and Obligations of Candidates and Their Agents" particulars about personation were also given and these pamphlets were supplied to candidates for use by their agents. This did a lot to give members of the public pause before going light-heartedly into the commission of the offence.

58. It is possible, however, for an unscrupulous candidate even with a small core of assistants and a well organised machine to send around a few persons to a number of stations and by multiple voting secure a large number of illegal votes. The finger-staining procedure was designed to prevent this. The system worked as follows. A specially prepared ink was supplied to each polling station and voters were required by law to dip a finger in this ink immediately after voting and before their ballot was put into the ballot box.

A refusal to comply resulted in the ballot being immediately destroyed and the voter disfranchised for that election. Even if he relented during the remaining hours of the poll and declared himself willing to dip his finger into the ink he would not be allowed to have a ballot paper. A mere handful of persons refused to dip their fingers in electoral ink. This procedure was combined with the examination of the fingers of persons applying for a ballot paper to make sure that no stains of electoral ink were present. A person applying for a ballot with stains of such ink on his fingers was under the law guilty of personation. Special precautions were taken to prevent samples of the ink being taken out for examination before election day by sealing the bottles and instructing presiding officers that they should be opened only in the polling stations on polling day in the presence of the poll clerk and the agents of the candidates. Reserves of ink were kept in the polling stations and in the offices of the Returning Officers for replenishment in any case where the ink was either accidentally or intentionally spilled.

59. As regards detection, the Police were given full details about the offence and all members of the Force were instructed to be on the alert for attempts to commit the offence. Presiding Officers were instructed to see that prosecutions were instituted immediately in any cases of commission of the offence within their stations.

60. It is certain that all these precautions had a salutary effect, and while it cannot be said that personation was completely eradicated it can be affirmed that it was considerably reduced. There were actually only two prosecutions for personation and a suspected case is still being investigated. In one electoral district, as will be mentioned below, it was known that an attempt was successful.

13. Marking the Ballots

61. In the immediately preceding County Councils General Elections a case had been recorded in which some person substituted a red pencil for the black lead pencil provided in one of the polling stations. As the law requires a ballot to be marked with a black lead pencil, all votes subsequent to the substitution and until discovery had to be rejected. Some two hundred ballots were made invalid thereby. Although it is true that such a device depended for its success on the lack of information in procedure on the part of the electorate yet intensified instruction of the public could not be expected to have an immediate effect. It was decided therefore to instruct Presiding Officers to hand the required pencil to each voter when giving him his ballot and to instruct him to mark his ballot with it and bring it back. From all reports received this worked very successfully and there were no recorded cases of large numbers of ballots having to be rejected because of the substitution of some other marking implement than a black lead pencil.

62. To make sure that voters would know what mark should be made on their ballots cards were printed shewing a cross as it should be made on the ballot paper. Each Presiding Officer was given a copy and was instructed to shew it to voters before they received their ballots. This was introduced at the

last moment before polling day and distribution and instruction had to be rushed. As a consequence this procedure was not followed in every polling station.

14. The Work of the Police

63. The Police Force spared no efforts to make the conduct of the people lawful and orderly during the pre-election period and on election day. Constant vigilance was exercised and this, combined with the instructional work on election offences, undoubtedly had a salutary effect. There were, however, certain unfortunate incidents such as the breaking up of a meeting of the Butler Party in Woodford Square and a clash between supporters of the People's Democratic Party and the People's National Movement in the County of Caroni. As regards the former incident, the Police unfortunately could take no action because it occurred before the issue of the election writs. The second incident resulted in prosecutions in the courts.

64. A meeting of senior Police Officers at which the Supervisor of Elections was present was held on the 7th September, 1956. During the meeting the Supervisor gave a resume of all the election offences and officers were invited to ask questions.

15. Nomination of Candidates

65. On the 6th September, 129 candidates were nominated, or a little over 5 candidates per constituency. This was an improvement on previous elections for which a larger number of candidates was nominated for a smaller number of constituencies. The constituency with the largest number of candidates was San Fernando East which received 9 nominations. Four constituencies recorded 3 nominations, the smallest number in any constituency. It is felt that the stronger emphasis on parties had some effect in reducing the number of candidates. One candidate in the Electoral District of St. Patrick East withdrew from his candidature before polling day.

16. The Poll

66. Polling day was extremely quiet and no disturbances of any size were recorded. The day was fair in the morning but a fairly heavy shower fell in the afternoon. This must have caused some reduction in the number of electors who came to record their votes. Voters came out very early on the whole and this was probably why a number of complaints were received at the start that the voting was taking unduly long and that prospective voters were turning away from the polling stations. Later on in the morning the long queues outside the polling stations began to clear up and there were no further complaints. Apparently there were few, if any, long queues at polling stations at the end of the day and there were no complaints of voters not being able to enter the stations during the last hour.

67. In the electoral district of Nariva-Mayaro, a presiding officer was peremptorily dismissed by the returning officer after a complaint had been made that he was handing to voters ballot papers already marked by previous voters. In spite of a warning this was repeated and the Returning Officer took

immediate action. There was a report of a Presiding Officer drinking strong liquor at a polling station in Tobago. This was dealt with locally at the time but it formed the subject of a petition to the Governor after the election. A person in the electoral district of Port-of-Spain North-East asked for a ballot paper after someone else had voted in that name. He was able to establish that he was the correct person named in the electoral list and as a consequence it meant that personation had been committed earlier in the day. Unfortunately none of the officers or agents present could identify the culprit. In the electoral district of St. Joseph certain persons kept passing in motor cars near to polling stations in order to shout directions to voters in the polling stations. In one polling station a Presiding Officer refused to allow certain persons whose names were on the official list of electors to vote. These irregularities were promptly dealt with. In the electoral district of Laventille reports of intimidation were made to the Police and a Presiding Officer was accused of improper questioning of voters in his polling station. These were both investigated and dealt with. In the electoral district of Port-of-Spain South-East there was more than one complaint of voters being influenced on their way to or at polling stations. These were brought to notice and further infringement of the law prevented.

68. At the end of the poll and after the preliminary count had been completed in the polling stations and the results sent in to the Returning Officers, the progressive results were given out. There were four telephone lines in the office of the Supervisor of Elections to receive the news. A fifth line made direct communication with the Information Office where some members of the Press received their information. The results from Tobago were sent by wireless telegrams. Reporters of the local papers also received news in the office of the Supervisor of Elections. The results were broadcast immediately they were received from Radio Trinidad and over Redifusion. A temporary studio with compensators was set up in the Electoral Office and a broadcaster was on continuous duty giving out the results. Direct lines lead from the temporary studio to the headquarters of Radio Trinidad. Installations at some of the offices of the Returning Officers also added to the broadcast and gave local atmosphere. The broadcast was received in other West Indian islands and in Barbados a re-broadcast was actually carried out.

69. At the offices of the Returning Officers, where large crowds are wont to congregate, public address systems were installed. Over these the Returning Officers kept the crowds continually informed as to the progress of the elections and also announced the final results. This had the effect of keeping the crowds some distance off from the Returning Officers' Offices and made it easier for the Police to maintain control.

70. By 11 p.m. the complete results of most of the electoral districts were reported. Incomplete results were received from Caroni South and Port-of-Spain South. In one or two other instances the results were not absolutely complete due to the fact that one or two preliminary statements of the count from individual polling stations were not available, but in no case was this sufficient to affect the result.

71. An Election chart was put out by the *Trinidad Guardian* on which the results for the different constituencies could be recorded as they came in. This was a very desirable feature as it encouraged the public to sit in their homes and follow the course of the elections, thereby reducing concentrations of persons at the focal centres.

17. The Final Count

72. The final count was in all cases commenced on the day after the election. The counts in St. Patrick West and Port-of-Spain South were completed on the same day. Six others were completed on the 26th, nine on the 27th and seven on the 28th.

73. Most of the results were clear-cut victories, the biggest majorities being obtained in Laventille with a majority of the first over the second candidate of 7,748; San Juan with a majority of 6,464, and Port-of-Spain North-East with a majority of 5,980. The closest result was recorded in St. Patrick Central where there was a difference of only one vote between the first and the second candidate. Close results were also obtained in St. Joseph, Tunapuna and Tobago where the majorities were 109, 179 and 245 respectively. Absolute majorities were secured in thirteen constituencies and in one other, Tobago, the first candidate secured 48.9 per cent. of the votes. More than half of the candidates did not secure the necessary quota of votes to avoid losing their election deposits. The law requires a candidate to secure not less than $\frac{1}{3}$ of the number of votes, excluding rejected and spoilt votes, counted for all candidates. Thus 69 candidates out of 129 lost their deposits resulting in the deposit of \$17,250 to general revenue. Full details of these results are given in Table 4.

74. Table 1 shows the percentage of the electorate who voted. Out of an electorate of 339,028 a total of 271,534 votes were cast or 80.1 per cent. This is the highest percentage recorded and compares well with percentages recorded in more politically developed countries which sometimes record percentages less than this. In the individual constituencies a remarkable uniformity was observed in that in seventeen of them the percentage of persons who voted fell within 5 per cent. of the average. Within 10 per cent. all but one percentage fell. This was in the constituency of Laventille where the percentage was 64. Although this electoral district is heavily populated, yet it is on hilly country with inadequate communications. This was probably the chief factor in keeping the percentage down.

75. Another gratifying decrease was shewn in the percentage of rejected votes, as Table 1 shews. The total number of rejected votes was 6,991, giving a percentage of 2.6 of the votes cast. This was a substantial decrease from the percentage recorded in 1950 which was 4.6. In San Fernando East a percentage of 0.9 was obtained. This is the first time that less than one per cent. has been obtained in any constituency. Lower percentages were recorded on the whole in the towns of Port-of-Spain and San Fernando where in no case was

a figure as high as 2 per cent. observed. In other constituencies no percentage higher than 4 per cent. was obtained except in Nariva-Mayaro where an extraordinary ten per cent. was recorded. It is not possible to give positive reasons for this outstanding percentage without carrying out an actual examination of the ballots. Unfortunately the ballots cannot be examined without the order of a Court, but from enquiries made the main factor responsible seems to be that a less liberal interpretation of what is a cross was used in this constituency than in other constituencies. This raises the inference, however, that the reduction in the percentage of rejected ballots recorded between 1946 and 1956 was due not so much to the education of the public as was believed, but to a more liberal interpretation being adopted of what was a cross. On the 20th October, 1956, majority and minority judgments of the Appeal Court were laid down in the case of *Doon Ragoobir against Punch and Ramoutar Dass*. These judgments dealt with a County Council election but the principles are the same as for a Legislative Council election. The judgments laid down principles by which those who are responsible for counting votes should be guided and in both judgments it was clearly enunciated that there should be great liberality in judging the votes. The majority judgment considered that the section directing that a cross should be made on the ballot paper was mandatory whereas the minority judgment laid down that it was directory. The result of the voting in Nariva-Mayaro and the two judgments mentioned clearly point to the necessity of doing one of two things: either the ordinance should be amended to make it legal to accept a wider variety of marks as good marks on a ballot or the entire system of casting a vote should be changed. There are quite a number of ways of voting that have been used successfully in other countries and there seems to be no reason why a satisfactory system should not be found.

18. Political

76. For the first time in these reports information is given on the political side of the elections. This is because party activity was more strongly in evidence than previously and one party, the People's National Movement, got a bare majority of elected seats and took over the Government.

77. In all, eight parties contested the elections, one being an amalgamation of two parties. The full effect of party organization did not operate, however, seeing that 40 independents were nominated, one of whom subsequently withdrew. Party organization did have an effect on the independents, however, as the results of the elections shewed. Of the 39 independents who contested seats only two were returned, while of the 89 party candidates 22 were successful. The number of independents is likely to diminish considerably in subsequent elections.

78. Tables 6 and 7 give detailed information about the party results. From these it will be seen that although the People's National Movement won the majority of elected seats yet it did not get a majority of the votes. It actually obtained 38.7 per cent. of the votes cast. The next party, the People's Democratic Party secured 5 seats and 20.3 per cent. of the vote.

79. The People's National Movement was the only party that contested all 24 seats. The Butler Party contested 20 and the People's Democratic Party 14. Two parties, the West Indian Independence Party and the Caribbean Democratic Party, contested one seat each.

80. The party affiliation of each candidate is given in Appendix I as well as his name and other particulars as appeared on the nomination papers.

19. Expenditure

81. The details of expenditure are given in Appendix III. There is an actual increase of \$100,000.00 approximately over the expenditure in 1950. This is made up only in part by extra expenditure due to the increased electorate. There were also increases in the rates for Registration Officers, and Assistant Registration Officers, as well as an increase of three cents per name in each of the three categories of enumerators. A new class of workers, Typists to Registration Officers, was introduced and they were paid at the same rate as Typists to Returning Officers. The rate per name for typing the lists was also increased from one cent to one and one-half cents. A new post of Officer in charge of the Publicity Campaign was created. This caused an additional expenditure of \$1,600. Another factor in the increase was the allocation of fewer polling divisions to each Supervisor of Enumerators causing an additional increase in their number over and above the increase due to the larger number of polling divisions. As explained in paragraph 43 the increase in the number of polling stations was greater than it ordinarily would have been if the number of electors per polling station had been kept at the same level. This further added to the expense. The amount spent on publicity and advertisements besides becoming greater because of the increase in the number of constituencies was increased still more by stepping up the instructional campaign. Again, more was spent on travelling, not only because of the increased personnel but also because the senior officers were instructed to travel around their districts more than was customary in the past. The travelling and subsistence of the Police on Election Day was also included in this item, which had not been done before. Finally numerous services which in the past were charged to departmental votes, including supplies for the Government Printer for printing election forms and other publications, are now under a new policy charged to the Elections Vote. The only item which does not shew an increase is Printing and Stationery although there was an increase of some 56,000 in the electorate and a rise in the cost of printing. The expenditure in 1950 was \$44,748 while in 1956 it was \$38,065 plus an amount of \$5,500 approximately taken from the item Typing Lists which formed part of the cost of printing the lists. This saving is due to the adoption of the offset printing method which proved to be much more economical than conventional methods.

82. The largest item of expenditure is nevertheless Printing and Stationery and the second largest Enumerators, on whom \$29,697 was spent. Large amounts were also spent on Publicity and Advertisement, \$22,195; Presiding Officers, \$24,675; Travelling, \$17,388; Supervisors of Enumerators, \$16,680; and Poll Clerks, \$15,512. A new item of expenditure was electoral ink and the bottles for its distribution on which some \$2,000 was spent. The total expenditure was some \$226,327.

20. Election Petitions

83. Two election petitions were filed after the elections. One, arising out of the election in the electoral district of St. Patrick Central, was almost inevitable because of the close result, a difference of one vote. The petition has already been dealt with by the Court, which carried out a recount of votes disputed at the final count. In the result the Court found that three more votes should have been allocated to the winning candidate and none to the second, leaving the result the same.

84. The other petition, which was on the result of the election in the electoral district of St. Joseph, raised a point of infringement of the secrecy of the ballot. The petitioners alleged that in two polling stations the Presiding Officers had not detached either the counterfoils or the stubs from the ballot papers and had allowed other persons to see the numbers on them when carrying out the count. A considerable number of ballots, greater than the difference in votes between the two candidates, was said to be involved. At the time of writing the case was still in progress.

21. Acknowledgments

85. It is not possible to thank individually all the persons, organizations, departments, and commercial undertakings that gave assistance and made the election the success it was, but general acknowledgment of their help is hereby given. Special mention must be made of the Press, Radio Distribution Ltd., and Trinidad Consolidated Telephones Ltd. who helped in disseminating information; to the Caribbean Commission, The Port-of-Spain City Council and Messrs. Colonial Life Insurance Company, British and American Shipping Company, and Pereira & Co. for printing electoral lists, and to the Government Printery, the Police, the Education Extension Officer and the Information Office each of which helped in its special way. The guidance and help of the Honourable Colonial Secretary and the Honourable Attorney General and his staff at all stages of the work is gratefully acknowledged. Finally the Returning and Registration Officers and their staffs, both indoor and outdoor, and the staff of the Electoral Office are thanked for their willing assistance and the hard work they put into the election, without which all operations would have ended in failure.

T. F. FARRELL
Supervisor of Elections

18th December, 1956.

TABLE I

Summary of Votes Cast
(Comparison with 1946 and 1950)

	TOTAL			PERCENTAGE		
	1946	1950	1956	1946	1950	1956
Number of electors on list ...	259,512	283,150	339,028			
Number of votes cast ...	137,281	198,458	271,534	52.9	70.1	80.1
Number of votes accepted ...	128,873	189,966	264,543	93.9	95.7	97.4
Number of votes rejected ...	8,408	8,492	6,991	6.1	4.3	2.6

TABLE 2

Number of electors, number of votes cast, number of votes
rejected and percentages

Constituency	No. of Electors	VOTES CAST		VOTES REJECTED	
		No.	%	No.	%
<i>Total</i> ...	339,028	271,534	80.1	6,991	2.6
Port-of-Spain North ...	10,920	8,766	80.3	135	1.5
Port-of-Spain South ...	11,820	9,380	79.3	132	1.4
Port-of-Spain South-East ...	11,072	8,408	75.9	124	1.5
Port-of-Spain North-East ...	13,227	10,466	79.1	195	1.8
St. George West ...	16,102	12,460	77.4	298	2.4
Laventille ...	19,023	12,263	64.5	173	1.4
San Juan ...	18,677	13,441	72.8	240	1.8
St. Joseph ...	12,315	9,922	80.5	144	1.5
Tunapuna ...	17,241	15,066	87.4	594	3.9
St. George East ...	14,865	11,638	79.6	305	2.6
Caroni North ...	15,023	12,928	86.1	414	3.2
Caroni South ...	10,129	8,358	83.1	165	2.0
Caroni Central ...	14,015	11,994	85.5	407	3.4
San Fernando East ...	10,804	8,619	79.8	78	0.9
San Fernando West ...	10,289	8,437	82.0	164	1.9
Naparima ...	16,716	14,382	86.0	380	2.6
Pointe-a-Pierre ...	15,021	12,599	83.9	232	1.8
Ortoire/Moruga ...	16,063	12,749	79.4	356	2.8
St. Patrick East ...	15,828	13,229	83.8	442	3.3
St. Patrick West ...	13,899	10,986	79.3	325	3.0
St. Patrick Central ...	14,868	12,036	81.2	131	1.1
St. Andrew/St. David ...	15,409	12,316	80.0	381	3.1
Nariva/Mayaro ...	11,748	9,411	80.1	946	10.0
Tobago ...	13,956	11,830	84.5	230	2.0

TABLE 3

Number of Polling Stations, average number of electors per
Polling Station and average number of voters per
Polling Station

Constituency	No. of Polling Stations	No. of Electors	Average per polling station	No. of Voters	Average per polling station
<i>Total</i> ...	1,137	339,028	298	271,534	239
Port-of-Spain North ...	30	10,920	364	8,766	292
Port-of-Spain South ...	33	11,820	358	9,380	284
Port-of-Spain South-East ...	36	11,072	307	8,408	233
Port-of-Spain North-East ...	46	13,227	287	10,466	228
St. George West ...	53	16,102	304	12,460	235
Laurentville ...	64	19,023	297	12,263	192
San Juan ...	60	18,677	311	13,441	224
St. Joseph ...	43	12,315	286	9,922	231
Tunapuna ...	56	17,241	308	15,066	269
St. George East ...	52	14,865	286	11,688	225
Caroni North ...	58	15,023	259	12,928	223
Caroni Central ...	50	14,015	280	11,994	240
Caroni South ...	41	10,129	247	8,358	204
San Fernando East ...	35	10,804	309	8,619	246
San Fernando West ...	34	10,289	303	8,437	248
Naparima ...	58	16,716	288	14,382	248
Pointe-a-Pierre ...	50	15,021	300	12,599	252
Ortoire/Moruga ...	54	16,063	297	12,749	236
St. Patrick East ...	52	15,828	304	13,229	254
St. Patrick Central ...	47	14,866	316	12,036	256
St. Patrick West ...	43	13,899	323	10,986	255
St. Andrew/St. David ...	57	15,409	270	12,316	216
Nariva/Mayaro ...	42	11,748	280	9,411	224
Tobago ...	43	13,956	325	11,630	270

TABLE 4

Votes cast for candidates and rejected votes, percentages of total votes cast and majority of first candidate over second

	Votes cast for candidate	Percentage of votes cast	Majority over second candidate
PORT-OF-SPAIN NORTH			
Total votes cast	8,766		
Ulric Lee	4,881	55.6	1,458
Albert M. Gomes	3,423	39.0	
Raymond Hamel-Smith	327	3.7	
Rejected votes	135	1.5	
PORT-OF-SPAIN SOUTH			
Total votes cast	9,380		
Patrick Vincent Joseph Solomon	5,845	62.4	2,515
Norman Tang	3,333	35.5	
Albert Owen Harris	67	0.7	
Rejected votes	132	1.4	
PORT-OF-SPAIN SOUTH-EAST			
Total votes cast	8,408		
Dr. Eric E. Williams	6,067	72.2	4,460
Carlton Elliott Achong	1,607	19.1	
Herman Scott	376	4.5	
Aubrey Emmanuel James	234	2.8	
Rejected votes	124	1.5	
PORT-OF-SPAIN NORTH-EAST			
Total votes cast	10,466		
John Shelford Donaldson	7,373	70.2	5,980
James Kelly	1,393	13.3	
Quintin O'Connor	937	8.9	
Leonard Ramalho Gomes	397	3.8	
Irvine Durham	171	1.6	
Rejected votes	195	1.8	
ST. GEORGE WEST			
Total votes cast	12,460		
John Harold O'Halloran	6,460	51.7	4,770
Aldwin Gerard Francis	1,690	13.5	
Ashram Sinanan	1,596	13.1	
McVorrin de Freitas	1,081	8.6	
Dolly St. Rose	1,040	8.3	
Francis Albert Michael Brunton	295	2.4	
Rejected votes	298	2.4	
LAVENTILLE			
Total votes cast	12,263		
Donald C. Granado	8,940	72.7	7,748
Kathleen Rigsby	1,192	9.7	
Cecil Gittens	923	7.5	
Cisto Arthur Darmanie	454	3.7	
Simeon A. Alexander	294	2.4	
Raymond Quevedo	287	2.3	
Rejected votes	173	1.4	
SAN JUAN			
Total votes cast	13,441		
Robert Edward Wallace	8,390	62.7	6,464
Hubert Adolphus McNish	1,926	14.4	

TABLE 4—CONTINUED

Votes cast for candidates and rejected votes, percentages of total votes cast and majority of first candidate over second—Continued

	Votes cast for candidate	Percentage of votes cast	Majority over second candidate
SAN JUAN—Continued			
Saad Reginald Ghany	1,328	9.9	
Godfrey Richards	1,129	8.4	
Jose Ramon Fortune	428	3.2	
Rejected votes	240	1.8	
ST. JOSEPH			
Total votes cast	9,922		
Kamaluddin Mohammed	4,223	42.5	109
Chanka Maharaj	4,114	41.3	
Vivian Raphael Kangalee	873	8.8	
Rito Da Costa	370	3.7	
Teddy Edward Davidson Peter	117	1.2	
Darlington Marshall	81	0.8	
Rejected votes	144	1.5	
TUNAPUNA			
Total votes cast	15,006		
Learie Nicholas Constantine	6,622	43.9	179
Surujpat Mathura	6,443	42.7	
Rattan Kumar Harracksingh	708	4.7	
Violet Amelia Thorpe	412	2.7	
Mohammed Ibrahim Khan	287	1.9	
Rejected votes	594	3.9	
ST. GEORGE EAST			
Total votes cast	11,688		
Arnold C. Thomasos	4,769	40.7	2,149
Jagdath Maharaj	2,610	22.3	
John Stanley Brooks	2,321	19.8	
Norman Alcantara	766	6.6	
John Anthony La Rose	446	3.8	
Raphael Chinalong	258	2.2	
Edward Bomparte	163	1.4	
George John	60	0.5	
Rejected votes	305	2.6	
CARONI NORTH			
Total votes cast	12,928		
Bhadase Sagan Maraj	7,801	60.4	4,323
Chunilal Saith	3,478	27.0	
Karpo Ojah Maharaj	638	4.9	
Inder Perasad	299	2.3	
Pandit Tewari	298	2.3	
Rejected votes	414	3.2	
CARONI CENTRAL			
Total votes cast	11,994		
Mitra Gokhale Sinanan	6,076	50.7	2,297
Fred A. Greene	3,779	31.5	
Ramoutar Dass	848	7.1	
Ranjit Kumar	807	6.7	
Ramzan Ali	44	0.4	
Doon Ragoobir	33	0.3	
Rejected votes	407	3.4	

TABLE 4—CONTINUED

Votes cast for candidates and rejected votes, percentages of total votes cast and majority of first candidate over second—Continued

	Votes cast for candidate	Percentage of votes cast	Majority over second candidate
CARONI SOUTH			
Total votes cast	8,358		
Simbhoonath Capildeo	5,169	61.8	3,484
Wilfred Andrew Rose	1,685	20.3	
Frederick McDonald Campbell-Bryce	1,339	16.0	
Rejected votes	165	2.0	
SAN FERNANDO EAST			
Total votes cast	8,619		
Albert Gerard Montano	3,986	46.2	1,348
Edward Adolphus Lee	2,638	30.6	
Robert Nathaniel Donaldson	627	7.2	
John Felix Ferdinand Rojas	440	5.1	
Joseph Eugene Ivan Herrera	319	3.7	
Richard Rambaransingh	277	3.2	
Vernon Joseph	228	2.6	
Pelham Banfield	18	0.2	
Hugh Barclay Webster	8	0.1	
Rejected votes	78	0.9	
SAN FERNANDO WEST			
Total votes cast	8,437		
Dr. Winston Mahabir	4,395	52.1	988
Roy Adolphus Joseph	3,407	40.5	
Allan Annisette Greene	324	3.8	
Aubrey Jordan	79	0.9	
Ruth Kamala Seukeran	68	0.8	
Rejected Votes	164	1.9	
NAPARIMA			
Total votes cast	14,382		
Lionel Frank Seukeran	5,229	36.4	702
Ramsamooj Gunness	4,527	31.5	
Oli Mohammed	3,186	22.2	
Kelvin Lucky	903	6.3	
Fitzroy McCollins	157	1.1	
Rejected votes	380	2.6	
POINTE-A-PIERRE			
Total votes cast	12,599		
Ashford Sastri Sinanan	6,459	51.3	4,165
Ibbit Mosaheb	2,294	18.2	
John Lalchansingh	1,942	15.4	
Olric Dougan	1,436	11.4	
John E. Commissiong	171	1.4	
Gustavus McFee	65	0.5	
Rejected votes	232	1.8	
ORTOIER/MORUGA			
Total votes cast	12,749		
Stephen Carpoondeo Maharaj	4,549	35.8	1,546
Edgar Augustin Fitzgerald	3,003	23.6	
George Mahaste Singh	2,870	22.6	
Vernon Wentworth Lewis	839	6.6	
Alexander Lal Mahabir	677	5.3	
Rammarine Seereeram Maharaj	393	3.1	
Reuben James Moore	62	0.5	
Rejected votes	356	2.8	

TABLE 4—CONTINUED

Votes cast for candidates and rejected votes, percentages of total votes cast and majority of first candidate over second—Continued

	Votes cast for candidate	Percentage of votes cast	Majority over second candidate
ST. PATRICK EAST			
Total votes cast	13,229		
Ajodhasingh	6,632	50.2	2,525
Saran Sampath	4,107	31.1	
Alexander Jamsadar	991	7.5	
Frederick Boodlal	728	5.5	
Elvyn Noel	331	2.5	
Rejected votes	442	3.3	
ST. PATRICK CENTRAL			
Total votes cast	12,036		
Alexander Chamberlain Alexis	3,124	26.0	1
Leo Charles Mitchell	3,123	26.0	
Seusankar Seunarine	2,448	20.4	
Leonard Irvine St. Aubyn Jones	2,287	19.1	
Fabien Sebastien Lesaldo	875	7.3	
Benjamin Ramsaran	48	0.4	
Rejected votes	131	1.1	
ST. PATRICK WEST			
Total votes cast	10,986		
Tubal Uriah Buz Butler	4,151	37.8	1,796
Manmohansingh	2,355	21.4	
David Ignatius Lynch	2,171	19.7	
John Milton Hackshaw	1,984	18.0	
Rejected votes	325	3.0	
ST. ANDREW/ST. DAVID			
Total votes cast	12,316		
Victor Bryan	3,753	30.5	1,176
Pope Wilberforce McLean	2,577	21.0	
Cyril Lancelot Brown	2,313	18.8	
Leonardo Miller (Jnr.)	2,289	18.6	
Joseph Thomas Mangatal	1,003	8.1	
Rejected votes	381	3.1	
NARIVA/MAYARO			
Total votes cast	9,411		
Victor Lionel Campbell	2,945	31.3	626
Ramprasad Bhoolai	2,319	24.6	
Babooram Nathai	1,786	19.1	
Kenneth Dougdeen	670	7.1	
Oecil Henry Nurse	487	5.1	
Mitchell Norvan Baptiste	124	1.3	
Mahadeo Maharaj	63	0.7	
Joseph Moonan	61	0.7	
Rejected votes	948	10.0	
TOBAGO			
Total votes cast	11,630		
Alphonse Theophilus Philbert James	5,774	49.8	245
Arthur Napoleon Raymond Robinson	5,529	47.8	
Lynn de Gale	97	0.8	
Rejected votes	230	2.0	

TABLE 5

Electoral District of Port-of-Spain North

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES				Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on list of electors
	Gomes, Albert Maria	Hamel-Smith, Raymond	Lee, Ulric	Ballots Rejected		
1	112	12	217	8	349	426
2	129	5	181	8	323	399
3	74	5	197	4	280	355
4	61	6	189	3	259	305
5	130	9	227	8	374	459
6	104	6	165	4	279	336
7	104	11	178	3	296	372
8	160	13	50	3	226	316
9	226	18	79	3	326	414
10	201	19	152	8	380	466
11	131	10	136	5	282	349
12	73	15	169	3	260	335
13	95	8	225	1	329	392
14	139	19	68	2	228	290
15	199	21	198	5	423	419
16	87	9	163	3	262	333
17	171	12	93	8	284	361
18	78	11	232	11	332	406
19	90	8	92	1	191	248
20	154	13	112	5	284	380
21	78	5	90	4	177	228
22	123	18	127	3	271	367
23	130	5	222	2	359	467
24	97	9	170	5	281	334
25	78	15	173	4	270	319
26	126	8	192	4	330	415
27	75	11	206	4	296	357
28	58	4	233	2	297	338
29	80	8	189	7	284	356
30	60	14	156	4	234	283
				Police Voters		95
Total	3,423	327	4,881	135	8,766	10,920

I, on this 27th day of September, 1956 declare Ulric Lee elected.

H. W. FARRELL
Returning Officer, Port-of-Spain North

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Port-of-Spain South

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES				Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on list of Electors
	Harris, Albert Owen	Solomon, Patrick Vincent Joseph	Tang, Norman	Ballots Rejected		
1	1	185	122	2	310	397
2	—	148	54	2	204	268
2A	1	165	48	—	214	265
3	4	294	62	13	373	444
4	2	202	115	8	327	382
5	5	68	7	24	104	276
5A	5	107	11	5	128	290
6	4	172	140	5	321	378
7	—	170	201	1	372	458
8	3	127	94	—	224	264
8A	4	94	93	—	181	239
9	2	186	143	4	335	402
10	2	241	105	4	352	425
11	1	157	105	3	266	316
11A	—	169	74	5	248	321
12	—	172	119	1	292	347
13	—	179	142	4	325	419
14	—	180	149	3	332	415
15	4	176	130	—	310	385
16	—	177	116	2	295	369
17	1	175	103	3	282	366
18	1	158	119	2	280	344
19	—	229	147	8	384	465
20	3	228	133	5	369	445
21	2	189	197	—	388	385
	4	186	162	1	353	419
				4	372	464
Police votes						121
26	1	194	35	3	233	276
27	4	108	133	1	246	308
28	5	184	32	7	228	272
28A	4	135	39	3	181	223
Total ...	67	5,848	3,333	132	9,380	11,820

I, on this twenty-seventh day of September, 1956 declare Patrick Vincent Joseph Solomon elected.

A. HEWITT
Returning Officer, Port-of-Spain South

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Port-of-Spain South-East

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES					Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on list of electors
	Achong, Carlton Elliot	James, Aubrey Emmanuel	Scott, Herman	Williams, Dr. Eric E.	Ballots Rejected		
1							
2	80	1	2	169	4	272	339
3	60	5	3	164	3	255	323
4	62	3	—	103	2	235	318
5	52	4	4	278	2	170	292
6	84	1	—	200	8	340	360
7	64	7	6	262	5	293	393
8	32	10	1	133	1	344	447
9	70	10	4	128	11	177	256
10A	57	5	9	137	2	223	325
10B	26	10	3	169	2	210	277
11A	53	5	4	188	5	213	278
11B	50	6	5	170	4	254	317
11C	52	11	3	154	4	235	318
12	55	15	4	216	4	224	318
13A	56	6	2	114	11	294	394
13B	29	6	3	116	9	189	262
14A	40	21	5	193	1	163	262
14B	30	8	15	168	6	260	316
15	44	6	6	153	—	227	317
16	22	4	9	112	—	209	259
17	6	2	15	106	—	147	195
18A	38	10	1	138	3	129	169
18B	30	7	1	138	—	190	228
19	27	9	26	276	—	171	228
20	30	5	8	225	9	338	433
21	25	6	10	190	2	277	332
22A	29	3	1	163	4	233	298
22B	29	1	2	166	1	200	264
23A	19	8	12	147	4	199	255
23B	15	3	19	147	1	190	236
24	45	12	45	228	1	185	237
25	25	6	87	189	3	351	433
26	24	7	43	250	4	310	396
27A	46	5	11	139	2	328	418
27B	28	—	7	154	1	203	239
						190	240
Police Voters							110
Total	1,607	234	376	6,067	124	8,408	11,072

I, on this 26th day of September, 1956, declare Dr. Eric E. Williams elected.

ERIC JOHNSON
Returning Officer

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Port-of-Spain North-East

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected.)	Number of Names on list of Electors
	Donaldson, John Shefford	Durham, Irvine	Gomes, Ramalho Leonard	Kelly, James	O'Connor, Quintin	Ballots rejected		
1	76	1	1	44	3	9	134	158
2	178	4	3	53	8	8	254	306
3	180	8	4	57	10	—	259	333
4	222	8	3	84	3	—	320	384
5	170	24	3	36	2	6	241	303
6	129	2	2	46	2	—	181	223
7	226	—	3	65	6	3	303	383
8	178	4	—	58	15	3	258	322
9	150	5	2	39	1	5	202	251
10	161	6	3	60	4	3	237	288
11	197	3	12	79	2	4	297	377
12	141	4	6	67	7	3	228	295
13	141	1	6	81	8	—	237	295
14	206	20	14	24	21	4	289	248
14A	137	3	1	72	7	2	222	277
15	88	14	8	4	6	4	124	147
16	165	12	3	23	14	3	220	279
17	180	2	6	12	25	4	229	308
18	158	2	11	65	7	2	245	313
19	169	1	4	6	22	8	200	248
20	189	—	2	16	26	5	238	291
21	194	9	22	25	37	5	292	352
22	183	13	25	18	36	11	286	341
23	141	—	10	25	10	4	190	247
24	197	3	1	44	2	2	249	339
C/f								

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Port-of-Spain North-East—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on List of Electors
	Donaldson, John Shelford	Durham, Irvine	Gomes, Ramalho Leonard	Kelly, James	O'Connor, Quintin	Ballots Rejected		
B/f								
25	94	1	13	11	26	2	147	197
26	74	—	6	11	40	4	135	173
27	182	3	21	11	26	14	257	312
28	191	3	23	7	16	5	245	312
29	157	1	8	8	28	1	203	279
30								
31	110	—	9	11	13	3	146	213
32	171	2	6	21	24	9	233	299
32A	120	2	6	10	23	2	163	213
33	142	1	21	19	46	6	235	293
34	190	1	12	26	86	9	324	388
35	160	—	22	14	31	6	233	311
36	122	—	5	3	21	3	154	175
37	124	—	8	6	24	1	163	199
38	240	—	17	17	41	4	319	412
39	88	—	8	11	13	3	123	153
40	127	—	13	10	44	6	200	259
41	201	1	9	7	33	7	258	326
42	97	—	6	10	26	2	141	164
43	218	1	15	37	45	5	321	409
44	239	5	7	24	30	1	306	410
						Police Voters		
Total	7,373	171	397	1,393	937	195	10,466	13,291

I, on this 27th day of September, 1956, duly declare John Shelford Donaldson elected.

A. C. CLARKE

Returning Officer, Port-of-Spain North-East

Electoral District of St. George West

[illegible]

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of St. George West—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES							Total Ballots (including both ballots counted those rejected)	Number of names on list of electors
	Brunton, Francis Albert Michael	De Freitas, McVernan	Francis, Aldwin Gerard	O'Halloran John Harold	Simanan, Ashram	St. Rose, Dolly	Ballots Rejected		
B/f									
20	1	27	51	56	86	—	4	225	313
21	4	6	148	39	45	—	6	248	356
22	1	1	108	75	42	—	3	230	305
23	3	7	182	193	17	3	6	411	414
24	3	8	45	106	58	5	15	240	304
25	1	14	7	166	26	8	2	224	296
26A	1	8	16	201	22	1	7	256	318
26B	—	3	16	175	17	1	4	216	291
27	1	4	8	254	56	2	9	334	388
28	—	2	8	170	16	1	1	198	263
29A	—	7	20	230	26	4	8	295	391
29B	1	6	27	217	50	13	2	316	417
30A	—	18	18	170	11	25	2	244	309
30B	1	27	19	119	9	16	1	192	274
31	—	3	94	148	54	4	7	310	387
32	1	5	32	204	26	5	5	278	356
33A	—	18	10	114	14	27	5	188	243
33B	—	21	9	130	25	10	3	196	247
34A	2	—	68	103	1	1	3	178	236
34B	—	2	85	89	5	—	6	187	231
35	2	18	20	110	19	26	—	195	274
36	2	1	116	82	4	3	9	217	299
37	10	1	7	194	39	20	13	284	354
38	2	4	15	221	12	16	4	274	369
39	2	4	25	268	8	15	9	331	416
40A	2	3	12	126	27	27	5	332	440
40B	1	1	16	148	19	11	9	205	264
41	4	7	8	240	24	47	5	335	420
								Police Voters	122
Total	295	1,081	1,690	6,460	1,596	1,040	298	12,460	16,102

I, on this 27th day of September, 1956, declare John Harold O'Halloran elected.

R. J. D'ABADIE
Returning Officer,
St. George West

TABLE 5—CONTINUED
Electoral District of Laventille

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES							Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on List of Electors
	Alexander, Simeon A.	Darnanie, Cisto Arthur	Gittens, Cecil	Granado, Donald C.	Quevedo, Raymond	Rigsby, Kathleen	Ballots rejected		
1A	11	11	36	209	1	5	1	274	356
1B	6	16	25	217	—	2	3	269	367
2A	8	2	23	93	3	25	2	156	252
2B	4	5	24	74	—	18	1	126	213
3	10	106	26	48	4	4	6	204	328
4	4	11	36	227	11	4	2	295	397
5	4	7	28	132	15	7	6	199	298
6A	13	1	12	117	4	33	3	183	306
6B	8	1	13	155	8	41	5	231	400
6C	7	1	6	131	8	28	5	186	334
7	4	29	16	71	2	7	3	132	208
8A	1	2	13	211	5	4	3	239	305
8B	3	5	21	312	11	5	3	360	296
9A	3	1	17	145	4	2	1	173	234
9B	—	1	15	133	6	2	—	157	233
10A	3	—	8	102	—	71	4	188	324
10B	2	8	7	108	3	44	2	174	278
10C	4	2	3	90	2	73	2	176	284
11A	4	3	7	149	—	46	2	211	364
11B	3	—	8	150	2	40	3	206	344
12A	5	9	8	143	2	4	1	172	253
12B	4	2	8	135	—	8	5	162	236
13A	2	12	13	152	3	3	4	189	271
13B	—	24	20	137	4	1	1	187	256
14A	7	12	8	141	2	7	—	177	337

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Laventille—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES							Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on List of Electors
	Alexander, Simeon A.	Darmania, Cisto Arthur	Gittens, Cecil	Granado, Donald C.	Quevedo, Raymond	Rigsby, Kathleen	Ballots rejected		
B/f									
14B	8	16	1	148	5	2	—	180	310
15	10	7	3	134	17	35	2	208	325
16A	2	2	15	84	1	29	3	136	233
16B	6	1	3	95	5	29	2	152	228
17A	4	1	5	115	7	18	7	146	241
17B	3	—	8	105	6	11	3	136	243
18	2	4	3	62	3	61	3	138	213
19A	2	—	4	93	1	15	3	118	280
19B	4	2	15	78	3	9	1	112	246
20A	1	8	9	137	4	46	4	209	334
20B	6	2	12	141	4	50	1	216	349
20C	4	2	10	204	6	59	3	288	441
20D	5	3	10	146	4	31	6	205	345
21	2	1	15	259	12	6	2	287	422
22A	1	6	3	146	8	3	2	169	253
22B	3	1	8	147	1	5	1	166	234
23A	9	11	11	119	1	24	4	179	260
23B	21	11	10	118	2	22	2	186	304
24A	1	—	30	151	8	2	1	193	258
24B	—	11	31	115	10	3	—	170	238
25	—	16	38	187	7	2	2	252	326
26	1	11	36	222	8	—	2	280	362
27A	3	8	23	138	5	8	—	185	267
27B	5	10	18	129	4	7	5	178	270
28A	5	3	18	109	1	16	2	154	233
28B	4	11	18	114	1	14	—	162	229
29	6	7	22	207	—	18	1	261	375
30	7	3	17	167	2	18	6	220	300
31	2	1	10	123	3	8	8	155	258
32	1	3	21	224	2	6	3	260	389
33	2	4	12	122	3	3	3	149	219
34A	3	1	14	177	4	9	1	209	266
34B	3	4	8	134	6	3	1	134	216
35A	1	—	3	141	3	2	2	169	239
35B	2	3	7	105	9	7	4	196	210
36	11	7	30	85	7	27	5	186	386
37	13	1	12	140	8	18	3	168	338
38A	6	1	7	113	2	52	4	162	388
38B	5	—	2	124	4	30	3	153	362
								Police Voters	179
Total	294	454	923	8,940	287	1,192	173	12,263	19,023

I, on this 29th day of September, 1956, declare Donald Casimir Granado of 61, Eastern Main Road, Laventille, Storekeeper, elected.

B. W. CELESTAIN
Returning Officer, Laventille

TABLE 5—CONTINUED
Electoral District of San Juan

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on list of electors
	Fortune, J. R.	Chauy, S. R.	McNish, H. A.	Richards, G.	Wallace, R. E.	Ballots rejected		
1	—	—	—	7	8	2	17	32
2	10	30	14	74	53	12	193	268
3	26	69	7	43	85	6	236	326
4	1	3	6	27	57	3	97	115
5	14	—	10	116	66	6	212	264
5A	14	7	7	122	74	3	227	277
6	9	27	11	100	94	12	253	347
7	12	33	80	23	92	5	245	354
8	4	72	30	3	162	3	274	436
9	6	—	59	3	161	2	231	315
10	1	64	14	6	136	5	228	305
10A	2	81	14	1	114	1	213	286
11	2	—	104	—	34	—	140	210
12	1	6	77	3	77	2	166	211
13	3	3	98	1	192	5	302	402
14	—	1	190	2	47	5	245	346
15	7	13	78	3	212	1	314	424
16	4	8	40	—	208	5	265	387
17	2	4	104	—	104	4	218	281
18	—	12	76	—	89	1	178	241
18A	1	8	73	1	63	4	150	224
19	31	6	10	130	127	8	312	406
20	13	13	20	34	129	10	219	385
21	1	—	2	9	10	—	22	27
22	28	5	28	66	139	11	277	376
C/f								

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of San Juan—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Fortune, J. R.	Ghaay, S. R.	McNish, H. A.	Richards, G.	Wallace, R. E.	Ballots rejected		
B/f								
22A	26	16	22	65	145	6	280	382
23	14	14	18	53	164	12	275	449
24	7	2	19	32	231	6	307	414
25	2	6	26	—	84	2	120	177
26	5	27	31	3	153	1	220	300
27	13	24	21	3	179	2	242	325
28	8	19	25	—	173	4	229	298
29	13	73	18	5	195	5	309	398
29A	3	124	8	1	165	6	307	369
30	9	39	33	7	225	5	318	427
31	6	37	9	29	179	3	263	333
31A	3	60	11	19	144	9	246	314
32	8	25	16	6	212	6	273	360
33	8	5	8	16	166	2	205	255
34	5	20	28	7	129	3	192	260
34A	—	29	20	6	123	1	179	256
35	3	13	15	9	103	1	144	235
35A	2	9	11	1	119	2	144	235
36	4	34	24	4	201	2	269	442
37	7	60	35	2	195	3	302	410
38	—	6	10	3	159	1	179	240
38A	5	7	11	5	157	2	187	246
39	9	20	17	8	190	1	245	347
40	13	14	30	20	174	3	254	336
40A	14	15	25	27	159	3	243	320
41	2	17	17	1	114	2	153	200
42	8	18	34	2	114	—	176	250
43	4	10	27	3	199	6	249	336
44	2	9	13	6	172	2	204	297
44A	1	11	11	2	188	4	217	304
45	5	18	27	2	191	3	246	323
45A	6	23	34	—	177	—	240	334
46	9	7	53	1	215	7	292	379
46A	10	11	42	1	181	2	247	333
47	2	31	25	4	182	7	251	347
						Police Voters	220	
Totals	428	1,328	1,926	1,129	8,390	240	13,441	18,677

I, the undersigned, Robert G. Archbald, Returning Officer, this 28th day of September 1956, declare Mr. R. E. Wallace duly elected.

R. G. ARCHBALD
Returning Officer,
San Juan

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of St. Joseph

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES							Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Da Costa, Rito	Kangalee, Vivian Raphael	Maharaj, Chanka	Marshall, Dawlington	Mohammed, Kamaluddin	Peter, Teddy E. D.	Ballots rejected		
1	—	3	1	—	—	—	—	4	13
2	17	29	17	—	11	—	—	74	104
3	35	35	83	—	105	—	1	259	351
4	17	32	70	—	130	1	4	254	301
5	12	13	69	1	128	—	—	223	320
6	4	31	86	3	140	3	6	273	257
7A	21	17	80	—	145	14	3	280	334
7B	15	20	78	1	139	3	1	257	308
8	13	7	73	—	134	17	3	247	318
9	22	5	53	2	177	61	4	324	414
10	4	37	136	3	109	1	4	294	360
11	21	21	99	3	114	3	—	281	317
12	13	13	86	8	87	1	4	212	299
13	—	31	97	—	18	—	3	150	173
14A	—	13	155	—	86	—	5	259	288
14B	1	24	239	1	48	—	5	318	354
15A	1	45	72	1	123	—	1	243	280
15B	5	15	126	—	78	—	4	228	264
16	—	14	107	3	37	—	5	166	184
17	12	37	121	1	141	—	2	314	411
18	8	4	73	1	124	—	2	212	270
19	35	31	51	1	216	4	4	342	430
20A	9	53	37	2	106	1	3	211	246
20B	3	61	46	—	67	—	3	180	236
21A	7	17	65	—	100	2	2	193	249
21B	10	11	105	3	54	—	2	185	240
22	2	5	31	1	72	—	—	111	143
23A	3	11	79	—	122	1	3	219	287
23B	6	9	101	4	75	—	6	201	243
24	1	19	148	4	146	—	13	331	397
25A	4	20	87	2	179	—	11	283	327
25B	3	28	99	3	116	—	7	256	297
26A	6	4	56	2	104	2	3	177	240
26B	4	4	61	3	124	1	—	197	252
27A	7	30	155	2	113	1	3	311	384
27B	9	26	183	6	70	—	7	301	367
28	—	45	145	1	55	—	1	247	299
29	6	15	76	—	41	—	3	141	192
30A	9	2	104	—	101	—	—	216	252
30B	8	10	131	—	70	—	1	220	240
31A	9	1	91	6	86	—	3	196	323
31B	6	4	123	7	75	—	6	221	288
32	2	21	239	6	57	—	6	331	409
Police Voters								54	
Total	370	873	4,114	81	4,223	117	144	9,922	12,315

I, on this 28th day of September, 1956, declare Kamaluddin Mohammed elected.

R. A. V. PHILLIP
Returning Officer, St. Joseph

TABLE 5—CONTINUED
Electoral District of Tunapuna

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on List of Electors
	Constantine, Lealie Nicholas	Harracksingh, Rattan Kumar	Khan, Mohammed Abraham	Mathura, Surujpat	Thorpé, Violet Annelia	Ballots rejected		
1	39	12	6	119	17	11	204	253
2	41	1	1	9	6	7	65	80
3A	110	10	4	72	18	2	216	257
3B	82	7	4	108	9	3	213	239
4	72	22	2	54	9	9	168	205
5	144	11	5	216	9	6	391	437
6	117	27	8	158	16	7	333	389
7	94	1	2	69	38	4	208	252
8	62	13	5	120	16	3	219	272
9	130	2	5	91	31	3	262	328
10	231	6	1	64	7	6	315	365
11	306	—	3	51	15	6	381	452
12	221	—	5	45	6	—	277	323
13	134	1	4	154	—	7	300	333
14	175	9	1	35	—	4	224	263
15	186	2	1	41	11	9	250	279
16	186	4	1	72	5	8	276	326
17A	116	9	1	38	16	5	185	237
17B	109	7	3	70	5	4	198	226
18	230	8	1	34	13	10	296	356
19	165	20	1	19	5	9	219	249
20	103	7	2	21	1	6	140	163
21	237	5	2	54	8	15	321	375
22	235	5	4	123	3	10	380	427
C/f								

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Tunapuna—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names List of Electors
	Constantine, Learie Nicholas	Haracksingh, Ratnan Kumar	Khan, Mohammed Abrahim	Mathura, Surujpet	Thorpe, Violet Amelia	Ballots rejected		
B/f								
23	129	5	7	87	6	3	237	286
24	73	16	12	167	5	8	281	309
25	36	5	11	270	3	24	349	379
26	163	5	5	88	1	11	273	304
27A	47	1	4	132	4	16	204	223
27B	56	1	4	177	1	16	255	267
28	120	4	3	80	8	8	223	253
29	65	2	5	105	5	6	188	205
30	43	4	9	211	6	5	278	320
31	26	6	12	244	2	17	307	322
32	78	3	11	212	5	16	325	355
33	179	4	1	99	9	15	307	343
34	277	10	6	127	14	20	454	385
35	65	16	2	155	—	21	259	306
36	47	53	13	222	3	29	367	426
37A	35	38	3	135	—	11	222	275
37B	25	29	7	99	4	12	176	216
38	133	21	7	110	2	22	295	326
39	190	7	1	44	2	13	257	283
40	89	11	3	61	26	9	199	243
41	164	13	9	108	6	19	319	358
42	195	4	1	21	5	8	234	274
43A	202	25	7	111	11	14	370	426
43B	152	11	9	205	3	10	390	442
44	132	25	6	150	1	16	330	362
45	86	11	15	206	5	22	345	382
46	124	35	3	154	6	10	332	368
47	50	48	7	138	1	9	253	275
48	2	22	9	263	—	18	314	337
49	22	30	13	209	1	12	287	322
50	4	28	9	153	1	11	226	267
51	88	6	1	63	2	9	169	196
						Police Votes		130
Totals	6,622	708	287	6,443	412	594	15,066	17,241

I, on this 27th day of September, 1956, declare Mr. L. N. Constantine elected.

A. C. FRANCIS-LAU
Returning Officer,
Tunapuna

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of St. George East

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES									Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Alcantara, Norman	Bomparte, Edward	Brooks, John Stanley	Chinaleong, Raphael	John, George	La Rose, John Anthony	Maharaj, Jagdath	Thomasos, Arnold C.	Ballots rejected		
1	47	2	8	—	—	3	10	16	—	86	101
2	25	2	14	—	—	5	4	60	12	122	174
3	31	1	27	1	—	9	2	176	7	254	307
4	22	—	5	—	—	2	—	30	—	59	118
5	58	—	128	16	—	—	6	30	9	247	342
6	41	1	63	1	—	3	21	67	2	199	261
7A	21	4	56	3	1	15	20	42	5	167	246
7B	15	3	57	7	1	11	30	42	4	170	254
8	12	2	58	9	7	13	26	127	12	266	356
9	14	5	105	2	6	1	17	100	5	255	309
10	6	—	45	6	—	—	4	62	5	128	145
11	10	—	66	10	1	1	9	66	1	164	188
12A	3	1	122	24	1	—	13	155	4	323	289
12B	5	2	104	18	—	2	6	79	4	220	277
13	2	1	126	14	—	2	21	123	3	292	356
14	23	3	141	31	1	9	15	104	3	330	408
15	5	—	96	7	—	4	12	120	12	256	316
16	12	4	51	14	—	8	13	128	—	230	336
17	12	2	20	—	4	1	66	110	4	219	292
18	—	4	4	—	2	7	102	55	6	180	237
19	3	5	25	2	1	35	86	100	10	267	367
20	5	—	7	2	—	80	40	38	6	178	219
21	1	—	8	—	—	36	17	50	4	116	157
22A	3	2	14	—	—	5	60	145	8	237	280
22B	1	—	4	—	—	6	123	111	4	249	283

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of St. George East—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES								Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Alcantara, Norman	Bonparte, Edward	Brooks, John Stanley	Chinleong, Raphael	John, George	Le Rose, John Anthony	Maharaj, Jagdish	Thomasos, Arnold C.		
B/f										
23	10	—	15	—	—	8	89	162	3	287
24	13	2	16	1	—	13	120	164	9	338
25	4	2	3	—	—	18	182	115	9	333
26	3	—	6	—	—	5	75	79	5	173
27	2	1	11	2	—	1	103	78	8	206
28	2	1	1	1	1	3	75	120	1	205
29	2	2	42	1	—	3	29	203	21	303
30	4	1	17	1	—	7	75	190	1	296
31	20	2	12	—	3	5	107	152	11	312
32	12	1	4	2	7	1	35	119	2	183
33A	19	2	55	10	13	27	35	75	24	260
33B	17	1	42	8	—	24	44	67	5	209
34	11	1	100	3	—	5	38	116	4	278
35A	38	12	103	9	2	6	24	155	5	354
35B	18	19	79	6	—	12	27	120	1	282
36	9	20	78	5	—	8	12	72	7	211
37	5	3	41	4	1	4	14	47	3	122
38	52	4	80	6	2	4	73	92	7	320
39A	21	3	47	9	—	2	89	48	2	226
39B	8	6	32	6	1	7	73	57	4	189
40	32	4	38	8	—	2	65	55	2	212
41	18	2	34	—	—	3	39	42	5	143
42	44	4	65	5	4	4	44	138	12	320
43	14	9	9	3	—	2	70	17	4	128
44	3	7	12	1	—	3	106	94	17	243
45	7	—	21	—	—	2	34	30	—	94
46	1	10	4	—	—	3	210	16	3	247
Police voters									100	
Total	766	163	2,321	258	60	446	2,610	4,759	305	11,688
										14,864

I, on this 27th day of September, 1956, declare Arnold C. Thomasos elected.

C. W. IMBERT
Returning Officer, St. George East

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Caroni North

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Maharaj, Karoo Ojah	Maharaj, Bhadase Sagan	Persad, Indar	Saith, Chunital	Tewari, Pandit	Ballots rejected		
1	2	216	30	36	1	6	291	330
2	15	188	7	63	1	2	276	295
2A	9	111	5	18	1	5	149	164
3	—	127	14	24	—	6	171	186
3A	3	146	7	29	—	7	192	211
4	11	70	15	31	2	4	133	159
5	26	132	29	15	1	7	210	248
6	19	123	10	11	—	6	169	195
7	15	159	34	81	1	8	298	365
8	14	62	5	36	—	4	121	145
9	6	126	—	1	—	2	135	136
10	4	191	—	13	—	3	211	219
10A	6	264	1	1	—	6	278	284
11	11	155	4	35	—	7	212	236
11A	2	175	6	31	2	—	216	240
12	4	168	1	30	1	6	200	222
12A	5	216	1	12	7	8	249	259
13	39	115	—	32	—	1	187	224
13A	38	148	3	28	2	4	223	262
14	56	113	—	10	—	6	185	203
14A	66	100	—	17	—	6	189	214
15	9	176	5	68	7	7	272	348
16	14	110	3	100	13	6	246	341
17	3	14	2	64	16	1	100	121
18	—	23	—	41	1	—	65	77
C/f								

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Caroni North—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Maharaj, Ojah	Mara, Bhadasa Sagan	Persad, Indar	Saith, Chunilal	Tewari, Pandit	Ballots rejected		
B/f								
19	2	111	—	62	15	1	191	225
20	2	70	3	47	23	14	166	214
20A	9	61	—	50	33	6	159	194
21	81	71	3	38	9	9	211	281
21A	63	83	—	20	4	11	181	196
22	9	88	1	25	25	9	157	187
23	11	195	4	73	12	12	307	255
23A	4	76	—	37	4	2	123	267
24	2	73	2	95	10	4	186	246
24A	4	123	4	48	9	11	199	257
25	12	329	4	30	5	11	391	436
26	1	229	1	41	—	7	279	309
27	3	261	6	40	—	5	315	345
28	3	212	2	71	3	4	295	314
29	2	137	1	87	—	10	237	269
29A	—	162	1	100	1	5	269	305
30	—	125	10	201	13	17	366	390
30A	4	142	7	186	14	10	363	393
31	4	97	7	99	8	6	221	253
31A	—	120	2	69	12	11	214	248
32	6	164	2	19	—	7	198	217
32A	3	200	2	18	1	15	239	260
33	2	89	6	114	1	3	215	247
33A	3	82	4	110	—	9	208	255
34	2	81	—	82	3	8	176	225
34A	6	117	3	72	4	9	211	254
35	—	115	4	79	3	7	208	240
35A	7	130	—	53	3	8	201	234
36	4	144	4	111	1	15	279	336
36A	1	196	8	77	2	15	299	360
37	3	169	6	127	19	14	338	435
38	1	69	8	206	3	10	297	352
38A	—	62	12	164	2	11	251	300
						Police voters		40
Total	638	7,801	299	3,478	298	414	12,928	15,023

I, on this 27th day of September, 1956, declare Bhadasa Sagan Mara] elected.

L. E. ROUSSEAU
Returning Officer,
Caroni North

Electoral District of Caroni Central

[illegible]

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Caroni Central—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES							Total Ballots (including both Ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on List of Electors
	Ali, Ramzan	Dess, Ramoutar	Greene, Fred Arthur	Kumar, Ranjit	Ragoochir, Doon	Sinanah, Mitra Gokhale	Ballots rejected		
B/F									
18A	—	17	66	17	1	154	7	262	303
19	1	31	74	6	—	85	6	203	235
19A	1	42	57	3	1	102	2	208	251
20	1	34	69	14	—	162	8	288	337
21	—	36	89	13	—	126	5	269	316
22	2	21	127	20	—	125	4	299	354
23	—	—	78	7	1	82	2	170	192
23A	—	—	49	5	1	140	4	199	110
24	—	14	102	9	2	135	2	264	296
25	—	16	66	13	—	107	7	209	238
25A	—	9	50	19	1	166	7	252	286
26	3	9	28	18	1	158	2	219	232
27	1	1	32	15	—	173	10	232	269
28	2	10	110	12	2	39	16	191	245
29	1	8	151	31	1	143	12	347	411
30	3	7	75	24	1	127	7	244	310
31	1	5	20	8	—	128	4	166	182
32	2	14	89	70	4	122	8	309	358
33	1	17	36	30	—	244	3	331	375
34	—	17	42	8	1	226	3	297	333
35	1	11	64	4	—	252	7	339	370
36	1	1	80	5	—	200	4	291	329
37	1	1	34	12	2	225	7	282	321
38	—	2	18	10	—	150	3	183	229
38A	1	—	30	8	—	167	7	213	255
							Police voters		30
Total	44	848	3,779	807	33	6,076	407	11,994	14,015

I, on this 27th day of September, 1956, declare Mitra Gokhale Sinanah elected:

BEN SEALY
Returning Officer

TABLE 5--CONTINUED

Electoral District of Caroni South

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES				Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Campbell-Bryce, Frederick McDonald	Capildeo, Simbhoonath	Rose, Wilfred Andrew	Ballots rejected		
1	10	135	29	3	177	235
1A	8	191	23	1	223	255
2	20	180	98	4	300	361
3	8	154	75	6	243	264
4	11	188	95	7	301	359
5	11	74	120	3	208	252
5A	19	106	63	3	191	226
6	48	114	131	—	291	342
7	17	129	6	5	157	215
7A	12	187	6	4	209	241
8	70	112	16	10	208	243
8A	40	123	17	3	183	219
9	54	96	16	2	168	226
9A	20	133	16	5	174	222
10	7	191	15	2	215	227
10A	8	197	21	6	232	257
11	14	105	68	5	192	217
11A	11	121	53	2	187	219
12	12	148	80	9	249	292
12A	8	204	60	4	276	315
13	12	67	103	4	186	221
13A	15	106	93	6	220	247
14	10	162	14	2	188	205
15	27	197	83	4	311	356
16	8	160	25	3	196	216
16A	18	183	22	2	225	240
17	5	106	50	1	162	203
18	49	104	43	8	204	267
18A	29	130	28	4	191	245
19	63	151	38	5	267	323
20	79	77	12	6	174	216
20A	56	81	8	7	152	206
21	72	106	20	5	203	282
22	45	56	3	1	105	125
23	85	55	24	4	168	213
23A	76	69	17	7	169	216
24	76	67	20	1	164	215
24A	55	97	21	3	176	207
25	36	142	30	—	208	277
26	67	72	15	3	157	193
26A	50	93	10	5	158	202
				Police voters		67
Total for 41 Polling Stations ...	1,339	5,169	1,685	165	8,358	10,129

I, L. D. Punch, Returning Officer for the electoral district of Caroni South, on this 27th day of September, 1956, declare Simbhoonath Capildeo elected.

L. D. PUNCH
Returning Officer, Caroni South

TABLE 5—CONTINUED
Electoral District of San Fernando East

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES										Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Montano, Albert Gerard	Lee, Edward Adolphus	Donaldson, Robert Nathaniel	Rojas, John Felix Ferdinand	Herrera, Joseph Eugene Ivan	Rambaranasingh, Richard	Joseph, Vernon	Banfield, Pelham Webster, Hugh Barclay	Ballots rejected			
1A	100	98	4	23	13	2	6	—	—	2	248	312
1B	96	105	3	7	5	1	3	—	—	3	223	249
2A	47	91	6	11	—	—	—	1	—	—	156	249
2B	74	135	4	20	4	1	1	—	—	2	241	251
3A	113	102	43	20	3	10	1	4	1	—	297	351
3B	57	95	15	14	2	7	1	—	—	—	191	239
4	92	132	12	8	3	13	7	—	—	5	272	373
5	130	54	11	23	103	3	4	2	—	4	313	382
6A	125	87	35	7	10	8	2	—	1	—	275	331
6B	112	85	17	4	6	2	8	—	—	2	236	301
7A	164	144	13	13	14	4	7	2	—	8	369	451
7B	68	107	12	9	2	—	7	—	—	1	206	270
8A	117	54	17	18	14	3	17	—	1	1	242	293
8B	117	56	16	4	12	2	33	2	—	—	242	284
9	134	103	28	14	3	—	12	—	—	1	295	363
10A	99	72	22	5	6	2	7	1	1	—	215	277
10B	81	66	21	11	—	—	1	—	—	1	181	225
11A	157	83	20	14	5	6	14	—	—	4	303	358
11B	82	74	7	14	3	6	9	—	—	3	198	244
12A	184	91	18	22	7	8	18	—	—	3	351	440
12B	113	106	35	14	11	29	6	1	—	5	320	435
13A	97	56	12	8	9	2	6	—	—	1	191	242
13B	106	43	8	10	24	8	2	—	—	1	202	244
14A	128	47	29	26	2	26	3	1	2	8	272	345
14B	90	26	43	7	—	45	3	1	1	6	222	286
15A	160	37	14	8	5	24	1	—	—	1	250	324
15B	151	40	16	12	1	16	6	—	—	1	243	286
16A	142	36	19	9	4	5	8	1	1	—	225	315
16B	97	25	8	13	5	2	10	—	—	—	160	160
17	132	40	12	16	12	2	7	—	—	4	225	313
18	121	55	12	18	10	12	6	—	—	—	234	294
19A	155	59	28	19	1	8	5	1	—	7	283	284
19B	86	67	3	7	6	8	—	—	—	3	180	237
20	194	50	17	18	8	6	4	—	—	—	297	383
21	65	117	47	15	6	6	3	1	—	1	261	314
Police Voters											99	
Total	3,966	2,638	627	440	319	277	228	18	8	78	8,619	10,796

I, on this 28th September, 1956, declare Albert Gerard Montano elected.

A. QUINN
Returning Officer,
San Fernando East

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of San Fernando West

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Greene, Allen Annissette	Jordan, Aubrey	Joseph, Roy Adolphus	Mahabir, Dr. Winston	Seukeran, Ruth Kamala	Ballots rejected		
1	26	10	133	165	6	4	344	410
2	16	6	134	176	1	10	343	410
3A	11	7	98	107	2	7	226	280
3B	6	9	82	192	5	7	301	366
4	11	2	118	173	1	3	308	387
5A	5	3	95	101	—	4	208	265
5B	9	4	104	138	—	7	262	293
6	17	1	147	193	1	7	366	436
7	12	2	136	164	1	13	328	402
8	6	1	98	122	1	7	235	212
9A	41	—	74	87	—	—	202	256
9B	17	1	60	129	1	2	210	261
10A	11	3	126	146	—	5	291	361
10B	4	—	109	99	8	2	222	290
11	7	5	174	156	3	4	349	445
12A	2	1	75	184	—	1	163	196
12B	4	3	92	120	4	7	230	276
13A	5	—	74	138	—	1	218	256
13B	5	1	74	96	—	3	179	226
14	5	4	107	102	—	5	223	273
15	8	2	51	88	2	10	161	194
16A	4	1	160	111	3	9	228	276
16B	3	3	165	120	—	10	241	286
17A	9	1	110	106	3	3	232	278
17B	7	1	83	95	2	8	189	232
18A	17	—	79	120	4	5	225	266
18B	14	—	32	148	1	4	199	251
19A	15	4	127	136	6	9	297	353
19B	8	2	116	183	—	5	314	383
20A	2	—	122	112	5	6	247	302
20B	3	—	79	107	1	1	191	227
21A	4	1	107	141	—	2	255	313
21B	9	1	98	148	5	3	264	331
22	1	—	88	92	2	3	186	228
Police voters								94
Total	324	79	3,407	4,395	68	164	8,437	10,289

I, on this 28th day of September, 1956 declare Dr. Winston Mahabir of 142, Royal Road, San Fernando, Medical Practitioner, elected.

H. ROUSSEAU
Returning Officer

TABLE 3—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Pointe-à-Pierre

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES							Total Ballots (including void ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Commissiong, John E.	Dougan, Orlie	Letchersingh, John	McFee, Gustavus	Mosahab, Ibbitt	Sinanan, Ashford Sastri	Ballots rejected		
1A	1	15	19	1	63	103	1	203	282
1B	4	5	21	—	50	127	5	209	235
2	1	7	43	1	102	169	10	333	389
3	—	—	76	—	24	67	3	170	195
4	5	30	68	1	6	148	11	269	331
5	4	25	29	—	60	191	23	319	382
6A	1	12	52	—	65	51	2	183	220
6B	4	9	77	1	28	87	1	204	233
7	9	11	84	2	65	158	11	340	381
8	—	29	121	2	12	169	2	335	389
9	6	36	52	1	80	162	5	342	395
10	2	6	20	6	9	107	1	151	186
11	5	25	29	1	42	138	7	247	277
12	3	27	59	2	62	131	5	280	325
13A	1	1	47	1	6	182	5	243	273
13B	2	4	66	1	5	205	6	289	311
14A	1	3	6	—	22	171	3	206	237
14B	—	1	7	—	17	198	5	228	262
15	—	24	29	2	26	207	5	233	321
16	1	14	11	—	36	167	6	235	263
17	1	48	23	1	34	125	3	235	341
18	5	48	145	—	49	116	4	362	421
19	1	13	126	—	37	77	1	255	273
20A	—	20	85	—	28	59	2	194	223
20B	—	13	111	1	13	61	1	200	226

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Pointe-a-Pierre—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES							Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Commissiong, John E.	Dougan, Oiric	Lalchansingh, John	McFee, Gustavus	Mosahab, Ibbit	Sinanani, Ashford Sastri	Ballots rejected		
B/f									
21	—	8	37	1	99	96	4	245	281
22	1	118	32	—	55	65	4	275	338
23	1	31	71	—	58	55	—	216	261
24	8	57	76	—	102	83	2	328	381
25	10	13	1	—	110	49	—	183	266
26	2	15	3	2	61	107	4	194	320
27	6	20	1	—	39	106	—	172	314
28	2	62	62	3	46	128	4	307	368
29	2	58	6	2	56	130	4	258	296
30	2	50	6	1	50	123	5	237	274
31	—	64	13	5	45	190	6	323	380
32	4	50	13	—	49	161	8	287	334
33	2	40	20	7	36	158	8	273	313
34	—	4	10	1	33	258	4	290	316
35	5	52	18	—	25	177	5	262	314
36A	11	95	5	—	34	85	5	235	273
36B	11	92	13	3	40	85	4	248	296
37	31	96	2	5	88	67	5	294	359
38	6	26	16	—	56	104	2	210	214
39	5	21	15	3	18	193	7	264	313
40	4	19	23	5	132	134	11	328	438
41	3	6	25	1	23	68	2	128	162
42	—	12	14	—	21	88	3	138	161
43	5	15	23	2	59	140	11	255	294
44	2	11	38	—	16	251	6	324	377
							Police voters		37
Total	171	1,436	1,942	65	2,294	6,459	232	12,599	15,021

I, Ronald K. Bates, on this 26th day of September, 1956, declare Ashford Sastri Sinanan elected.

RONALD K. BATES
Returning Officer,
Pointe-a-Pierre

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Naparima

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Gunness, Ramsanoj	Lucky, Kelvin	McCollins, Fitzroy	Mohammed, Oli	Seukeral, Lionel Frank	Ballots rejected		
1	17	7	2	143	29	2	200	210
2	21	20	10	178	32	4	265	354
3	34	4	4	130	27	4	203	227
4	37	7	3	229	19	10	305	363
5	44	24	6	214	31	7	326	413
6	33	15	5	146	13	8	220	272
7	70	8	3	105	93	8	287	353
8A	75	5	5	113	45	5	248	287
8B	58	8	2	56	35	8	167	186
9	25	5	1	51	73	4	159	180
10	89	9	3	12	179	6	298	323
11	49	2	2	57	57	2	169	187
12	11	—	1	88	28	2	130	181
13	56	2	2	40	134	5	239	283
14	102	5	3	73	162	15	360	404
15	142	4	1	16	85	8	256	284
16	65	22	4	60	128	16	295	389
17	78	31	5	79	110	8	311	398
18A	24	61	3	49	29	3	169	254
18B	37	71	5	33	36	—	182	272
19	40	72	6	52	95	5	270	348
20	136	—	—	7	105	15	263	272
21	33	45	5	27	91	4	205	235
22A	45	57	2	38	63	—	205	263
22B	51	46	3	37	71	3	211	260
C/f								

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Naporima—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Gunness, Ramsamooj	Lucky, Kelvin	McCollins, Fitzroy	Mohammed, Oli	Seukeran, Lionel Frank	Ballots rejected		
B/f								
23	72	84	5	58	96	7	322	408
24	91	21	10	78	168	10	378	440
25	74	31	2	77	76	3	263	354
26	63	2	—	9	31	3	108	114
27	97	1	5	10	226	9	348	373
28A	64	23	—	47	114	5	253	291
28B	72	14	1	16	101	12	215	242
29	93	7	4	75	136	14	329	352
30	26	—	3	15	102	9	155	171
31	39	4	3	140	111	5	302	359
32	55	1	5	113	112	8	294	353
33	27	2	4	94	96	10	233	261
34	120	1	2	39	125	2	289	320
35	89	35	3	60	108	5	300	335
36	90	15	2	60	121	14	302	336
37	156	27	1	20	91	9	304	344
38A	124	20	2	18	71	3	238	265
38B	123	12	1	9	66	3	214	237
39A	71	19	1	37	43	6	177	224
39B	75	21	1	33	80	3	213	250
40	100	9	1	31	93	8	242	267
41	120	4	4	84	113	7	332	376
42	244	2	—	7	110	10	373	390
43	189	2	2	—	85	4	282	309
44A	141	2	1	—	61	7	212	224
44B	151	1	3	3	61	1	220	233
45A	110	3	1	2	110	12	238	268
45B	114	1	1	3	85	6	210	223
46	72	2	—	8	213	6	301	341
47	46	1	1	—	127	3	178	187
48	41	—	—	1	89	4	135	147
49	37	1	1	7	172	13	231	251
50	169	5	1	—	66	7	248	257
							Police voters	16
Total	4,527	903	157	3,186	5,229	360	14,382	16,716

I, on this 26th day of September, 1956, declare Lionel Frank Seukeran, elected.

V. H. KNOWLES

Returning Officer

Q

A 2

C/E

Electoral District of Ortoire-Moruga—Continued

I, on this 28th day of September, 1956, declare Mr. Stephen Carpoondeo Maharaj elected.

GEORGE HOLDER
Returning Officer

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of St. Patrick East

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Ajodhasingh	Boodlal, Frederick	Jarnadar, Alexander	Noel, Elwyn	Sampath, Saran	Ballots rejected		
1	225	14	18	1	93	8	359	424
2A	115	8	1	1	67	4	196	258
2B	128	9	2	—	59	4	202	244
3	257	5	10	1	17	9	299	333
4A	104	5	6	2	58	6	181	235
4B	105	1	2	—	67	7	182	226
5	104	32	15	14	164	3	332	428
6	81	16	17	4	51	9	178	219
7	73	18	29	1	78	5	204	266
8A	58	11	25	—	102	13	209	274
8B	108	10	24	4	84	3	233	279
9	66	67	10	2	124	1	270	338
10	237	10	44	1	73	9	374	442
11	86	9	33	5	72	4	209	246
12	51	17	50	8	142	2	270	305
13	98	23	13	10	173	6	323	385
14	98	84	37	50	65	12	346	414
15	234	2	15	—	27	15	293	344
16	235	6	15	9	98	9	372	419
17	50	51	23	77	50	22	273	358
18	74	53	32	41	57	7	264	361
19	115	5	16	—	53	10	199	230
20	140	—	5	—	24	8	177	199
21	224	2	17	—	48	9	300	341
22	201	1	36	—	8	9	255	277
C/f ...								

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of St. Patrick East—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Ajodhasingh	Boddal, Frederick	Jamadat, Alexander	Noel, Elwyn	Sampath, Saran	Ballots rejected		
C/r								
23	185	11	50	11	70	14	341	432
24	117	4	39	—	9	3	172	192
25	291	3	28	2	7	4	335	373
26	253	1	17	1	6	15	293	330
27	147	11	37	1	50	12	258	297
28	78	26	30	8	57	8	207	262
29	63	24	8	11	110	6	222	291
30	70	17	22	12	61	5	187	228
31	86	3	21	4	124	3	241	275
32	56	18	12	3	133	8	230	277
33	11	19	21	—	115	3	169	190
34	50	4	6	—	153	13	226	264
35	72	3	11	—	69	5	160	185
36	182	4	4	3	83	11	287	329
37	35	9	15	12	104	16	191	316
38	73	22	13	8	128	3	247	323
39A	129	15	20	5	36	7	212	263
39B	128	15	3	1	17	7	171	211
40	178	4	15	2	12	8	219	289
41	160	8	13	—	15	13	209	249
42	185	3	11	2	35	11	247	269
43	192	—	22	—	78	10	302	339
44	148	4	12	1	118	14	297	335
45	90	24	11	3	216	5	349	361
46	105	6	21	3	189	19	343	380
47	118	3	14	4	86	5	230	252
48	163	6	20	3	172	20	384	434
						Police voters		37
Total	6,622	726	991	331	4,107	442	13,229	15,828

I, on this 26th day of September, 1956, declare Mr. Ajodhasingh elected.

Y. DE VERTEUIL

Returning Officer,

St. Patrick East

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES							Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on List of Electors
	Alexis, Alexander Chamberlain	Jones, Leonard Irvine St. Aubyn	Lesauldo, Fabien Sebastian	Mitchell, Charles Leo	Rambaran, Benjamin	Sennarine, Seusankar	Ballots rejected		
1A	23	114	2	50	—	5	—	194	25
1B	28	68	10	33	1	8	—	148	20
2	76	136	10	100	—	11	3	336	44
3	18	103	7	102	—	—	5	235	28
4	32	91	5	114	1	1	4	248	31
5	35	60	3	194	2	7	2	303	38
6	37	127	21	161	1	5	6	358	44
7A	19	24	—	89	—	41	—	173	22
7B	23	29	—	97	—	53	3	205	24
8	2	15	—	53	7	248	4	329	40
9	18	11	1	95	—	81	2	208	24
10	30	25	8	248	1	8	4	324	40
11	44	56	13	158	2	45	5	323	40
12	64	50	14	148	3	39	7	325	38
13	26	72	22	35	—	28	—	183	23
14	57	101	11	154	1	9	3	336	40
15	73	50	10	139	6	9	—	287	35
16	36	21	4	99	—	7	1	168	22
17	35	35	2	153	2	3	1	231	27
18	43	71	30	18	1	26	—	189	30
19	98	32	28	58	—	38	3	257	30
20	109	41	12	21	2	60	5	250	33
21	152	54	31	46	1	31	2	317	37
22	80	167	47	26	—	24	2	346	39
23	61	11	10	28	—	64	—	174	20

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of St. Patrick Central—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES							Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Alexis, Alexander Chamberlain	Jones, Leonard Irvine St. Aubyn	Lesaldo, Fabien Sebastien	Mitchell, Charles Leo	Ramsaran, Benjamin	Seunarine, Seusanker	Ballots rejected		
R/f									
24	121	7	16	13	—	152	3	312	362
25A	32	39	65	33	1	33	1	204	254
25B	22	37	52	18	1	49	3	182	226
26	21	74	45	6	1	20	—	167	221
27	39	57	20	13	—	41	—	170	222
28A	11	14	5	99	2	65	2	198	246
28B	12	8	7	81	—	70	3	181	216
29B	46	24	9	181	—	81	1	342	437
30	10	17	12	60	—	56	2	157	209
31	46	83	44	30	—	93	2	298	423
32	48	20	15	54	2	127	3	269	342
33A	156	26	14	11	—	27	5	239	285
33B	112	25	26	14	2	60	—	239	284
34	199	18	36	9	4	19	4	289	321
35	175	11	21	36	—	124	2	369	440
36	190	13	18	11	—	15	6	253	297
37	134	71	27	8	—	28	3	271	268
38	109	70	34	3	—	56	2	274	326
39	157	33	31	8	1	104	4	338	400
40	78	29	24	5	—	100	4	240	271
41	74	35	30	4	2	116	9	270	329
42	113	12	23	7	1	161	10	327	385
							Police voters		47
Total	3,124	2,287	875	3,123	48	2,448	131	12,036	14,866

I, on this 26th day of September, 1956, declare Mr. Alexander Chamberlain Alexis elected,

F. R. CARTER
Returning Officer, St. Patrick Central

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of St. Patrick West

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES					Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Butler, Tubal Uriah Buz	Hackshaw, John Milton	Lynch, David Ignatius	Mannohansingh	Ballots rejected		
1	45	95	15	147	10	312	391
2	13	11	18	68	5	115	153
3	27	24	48	204	8	311	383
4	35	62	10	135	5	247	304
5	22	67	28	130	16	261	311
6	28	60	9	245	22	364	412
7	18	19	18	321	17	393	446
8	10	5	12	187	13	227	268
9	32	32	50	83	2	199	234
10	89	28	76	38	9	240	306
11	99	32	86	100	6	323	415
12	89	33	35	44	7	208	254
13	127	24	81	70	4	308	409
14	161	37	52	56	12	318	389
15	83	26	55	53	4	231	314
16	180	23	51	27	12	293	390
17	174	20	52	28	12	286	372
18	325	12	13	11	20	381	414
19	201	29	49	32	12	323	426
20A	81	39	79	18	8	225	273
20B	45	38	82	20	2	187	251
21	99	48	162	3	13	325	423
22	124	41	138	8	5	316	414
23	9	32	64	1	—	106	203
24	37	92	97	16	1	243	303
25	140	46	119	9	2	316	395
26	107	98	141	10	6	362	426
27	13	74	55	21	—	163	217
28	25	154	160	6	2	347	403
29	28	106	78	9	3	224	287
30	22	104	91	4	—	221	260
31A	153	41	7	8	12	221	279
31B	157	62	14	23	16	272	281
32	181	12	27	53	4	277	341
33	114	41	46	22	3	226	333
34	143	19	10	44	7	223	316
35A	122	32	5	22	7	188	272
35B	98	25	6	38	7	174	246
36	141	59	9	12	12	233	313
37	153	67	8	9	8	245	324
38A	131	40	6	8	4	189	237
38B	139	34	2	5	4	184	230
39	121	41	9	7	3	181	261
Police voters						40	
Total	4,151	1,984	2,171	2,355	325	10,986	13,899

I, on this 25th day of September, 1956, declare Mr. Tubal Uriah Buz Butler elected.

E. HUNTE
Returning Officer, St. Patrick West

GENERAL ELECTIONS, 1956

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of St. Andrew/St. David

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on List of Electors
	Brown, Cyril Lancelot	Bryan, Victor	Mangatal, Joseph Thomas	McLean, Pope Wilberforce	Miller, Leonardo (Jnr.)	Ballots Rejected		
1	89	106	3	23	10	16	247	331
2	32	31	1	16	165	7	252	290
3	10	40	—	2	53	6	111	131
4	75	83	4	27	119	4	312	388
5	13	103	2	45	98	24	285	377
6	44	131	15	19	52	12	273	345
7	61	75	30	25	90	22	303	358
8	30	50	38	37	72	7	234	295
9	25	134	6	14	145	2	326	425
10	13	91	1	4	3	4	116	189
11	7	24	4	66	24	8	133	179
12	42	8	1	20	5	3	79	99
13A	45	76	3	70	13	6	213	253
13B	38	52	3	62	16	6	177	206
14	55	98	21	47	48	5	274	362
15	28	64	13	27	30	1	163	217
16	8	2	17	8	1	1	37	51
17	22	130	83	58	21	13	327	418
18	35	43	33	52	53	4	220	265
19	14	33	7	58	53	1	166	225
20	16	9	68	70	42	6	211	272
21	73	73	32	53	15	8	254	307
22A	73	60	29	64	10	—	236	260
22B	48	53	40	51	16	6	214	237
23	44	101	26	15	5	1	192	223
C/f								

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of St. Andrew/St. David

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES						Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on List of Electors
	Brown, Cyril Lancelot	Bryan, Victor	Mangatal, Joseph Thomas	McLean, Pope Wilberforce	Miller, Leonardo (Jnr.)	Ballots Rejected		
B/f								
24	46	93	31	37	5	3	215	256
25	26	40	44	50	28	5	193	237
26	44	61	40	68	1	7	221	292
27	49	113	32	85	2	17	298	343
28	42	92	28	84	11	9	266	326
29	69	60	35	74	3	7	248	296
30	60	88	21	68	10	10	257	323
31	53	23	4	134	65	10	239	360
32	26	27	4	27	51	1	136	168
33	7	7	7	11	129	7	168	203
34	51	62	29	54	22	6	224	286
35	39	94	51	22	47	5	258	314
36	104	124	46	37	26	11	348	423
37	55	42	1	23	41	4	166	196
38	42	106	14	76	16	7	261	309
39	52	174	14	60	8	3	311	368
40	7	21	12	117	15	2	174	246
41	20	57	21	21	26	3	148	196
42	60	75	1	63	25	9	233	364
43	20	81	1	21	3	5	131	155
44	98	92	4	34	8	12	248	303
45	56	39	1	15	26	5	142	191
46	59	38	12	63	82	6	260	339
47	65	92	7	65	19	8	256	302
48	104	114	16	63	50	6	353	412
49	28	19	16	29	38	8	138	152
50	20	43	2	34	188	1	288	370
51	34	32	11	25	109	4	215	326
52	8	27	1	71	20	13	140	176
53	15	45	1	40	35	10	146	176
54	6	77	1	53	17	3	157	176
55	8	25	15	20	4	1	73	87
Police Voters								35
Total	2,313	3,753	1,003	2,577	2,289	381	12,316	15,409

I, on this twenty-seventh day of September, 1956, declare Mr. Victor Bryan elected

M. D'ABADIE
Returning Officer,
St. Andrew/St. David

Electoral District of Nariva-Mayaro

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES								Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors	
	Baptiste, Mitchell Norvan	Bhoolai Ramprasad	Campbell, Victor Lionel	Dougleen, Kenneth	Maharaj, Mahadeo	Mooney, Joseph	Nathai Babooram	Nurse, Cecil Henry			Ballots rejected
1	1	11	78	12	3	1	28	5	39	178	237
2	5	53	32	20	1	—	10	59	11	191	251
3	7	169	15	16	—	—	6	8	21	242	312
4	7	168	62	7	1	1	9	20	65	340	440
5	5	42	44	36	2	—	19	28	23	199	276
6	3	75	25	—	—	1	11	2	11	128	146
7	9	123	27	7	—	—	10	22	22	220	302
8	4	118	38	28	3	2	18	32	23	266	373
9	2	62	23	28	—	—	11	6	14	145	185
10	5	198	51	22	—	2	19	16	49	362	460
11	—	38	43	30	—	—	7	1	19	138	175
12	—	46	43	1	3	—	61	—	14	168	204
13	5	78	41	—	4	—	79	1	21	229	266
14	1	81	75	17	1	3	38	—	19	235	266
15	—	15	76	5	—	—	73	—	22	191	233
16	2	95	45	10	6	2	35	1	31	227	282
17	3	54	43	33	—	—	10	—	14	157	185
18	—	23	13	44	—	2	57	—	16	155	195
19	4	104	154	14	—	—	13	18	40	347	432
20	2	66	17	60	1	1	45	2	19	213	236
21	—	89	21	4	1	—	13	—	21	149	175
22	2	77	12	3	22	—	40	1	18	175	202
23	2	31	115	13	1	7	84	4	16	273	341
24	3	36	95	4	6	2	98	—	22	266	323
25	1	47	26	7	—	1	132	4	8	226	256
C/f											

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Nariva-Mayaro—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES									Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of names on List of Electors
	Baptiste, Mitchell Norvan	Bhoolai, Ramprasad	Campbell, Victor Lionel	Dougdeen, Kenneth	Maharaj, Mahadeo	Moontan, Joseph	Nathai, Babooran	Nurse, Cecil Henry	Ballots rejected		
B/f											
26	3	46	63	3		14	137		21	287	386
27	1	70	123	15		8	111	3	39	370	445
28		40	100	17		10	147	4	18	336	434
29	2	56	99	52		1	59	1	27	297	392
30		39	27	98		1	40	1	24	230	271
31	1	43	18	10			43	1	14	130	162
32		21	95		1		54	20	12	203	245
33		20	124	2			5	66	17	234	275
34	15	25	217	5			5	43	19	329	399
35	4	18	124	1	2		7	33	29	218	275
36	10	12	179	5	2		8	38	36	290	360
37		17	82	35			7	19	30	190	240
38	8	9	118	2			46	19	19	221	270
39	4	2	122	3		1	119	6	41	298	376
40			59		1	1	34	1	4	100	122
41	1	2	124	1	1		40	3	11	183	223
42	2		57		1		8		7	75	89
										Police voters	29
Total	124	2,319	2,945	670	63	61	1,796	487	946	9,411	11,748

I, on this 27th day of September, 1956, declare Victor Lionel Campbell elected.

P. RODRIGUEZ
Returning Officer,
Nariva-Mayaro

GENERAL ELECTIONS, 1956

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Tobago

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES				Total Ballots (Including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on List of Electors
	de Gale, Lynn	James, A. P. T.	Robinson, A. N. R.	Ballots Rejected		
1	3	130	190	8	331	375
2	3	169	206	5	383	434
3	8	143	154	5	310	396
4A	—	158	68	4	230	266
4B	2	148	57	4	211	254
5	—	162	111	8	281	331
6	—	118	120	2	240	285
7	1	210	120	10	341	404
8	2	148	182	7	339	450
9	—	102	168	10	280	356
10	1	123	102	3	229	288
11	4	117	123	5	249	305
12	6	70	197	3	276	346
13	1	33	146	2	182	221
14	2	93	254	10	359	362
15	3	71	267	5	346	463
16	1	130	120	8	259	289
17	3	205	123	5	336	421
18	1	70	36	9	116	147
19	7	221	80	8	316	368
20	8	239	84	4	335	394
21	—	185	157	10	352	469
22	1	177	39	4	221	271
23	—	60	125	1	186	220
24	1	154	72	4	231	370
O/F						

TABLE 5—CONTINUED

Electoral District of Tobago—Continued

Polling Station Number	VOTES CAST FOR CANDIDATES				Total Ballots (including both ballots counted and those rejected)	Number of Names on List of Electors
	de Galé, Lynn	James, A. P. T.	Robinson, A. N. R.	Ballots Rejected		
B/f						
25	4	151	120	7	282	328
26	—	96	76	2	174	196
27	1	195	66	4	266	304
28	4	150	56	6	216	262
29	—	90	198	3	291	310
30	2	145	141	5	293	341
31	2	136	79	5	222	248
32	4	85	82	6	177	203
33	6	180	71	4	261	319
34	3	129	177	9	318	374
35	3	163	231	7	404	478
36	1	176	120	7	304	336
37	1	128	96	2	227	244
38	1	50	135	1	187	213
39	—	65	47	5	117	164
40	3	138	118	4	263	294
41	2	146	147	4	299	338
42	2	115	268	5	390	442
				Police Voters		77
Total	97	5,774	5,529	230	11,630	13,856

I, on this twenty-eighth day of September, 1956, declare Alphonso Philbert Theophilus James duly elected.

G. B. OTTLEY
Returning Officer, Tobago

TABLE 6

Number of Seats contested by Parties, number of Seats secured, number of Votes cast for Parties, Electorate in Seats contested by Parties, percentage of Votes cast for Parties of such Electorate, Votes cast in Seats contested by Parties, percentage of Votes cast for Parties of such Votes and percentage of Votes cast for Parties of Total Electorate and total Vote

PARTY	No. of Seats contested (128)	No. of Seats Secured (24)	Total No. of Votes received	Electorate in Seats contested	Percentage	Votes cast in Seats contested	Percentage	Percentage of total Electorate (339,028)	Percentage of total Vote
People's National Movement ...	24	13	105,153	339,028	31.0	271,534	38.7	31.0	38.7
People's Democratic Party	14	5	55,148	212,985	25.9	170,890	32.3	16.3	20.3
Butler Party ...	20	2	31,071	287,501	10.8	228,878	13.6	9.2	11.4
Trinidad Labour Party-National Democratic Party ...	11	2	13,692	162,189	8.4	124,965	11.0	4.0	5.0
Party of Political Progress Groups	9	—	14,019	131,371	10.8	100,995	13.9	4.1	5.2
Caribbean National Labour Party ...	9	—	3,864	128,890	3.0	102,605	3.8	1.1	1.4
West Indian Independence Party ...	1	—	446	14,865	3.0	11,688	3.8	0.1	0.2
Caribbean People's Democratic Party ...	1	—	627	10,804	6.0	8,619	7.3	0.2	0.2
Independents ...	39	2	40,523	252,153	16.1	206,560	19.6	11.9	14.9

TABLE 7
Showing Votes by respective Parties (P) and all Independents (I) in the several Constituencies and Electorates in each Constituency

SHOWING VOTES BY RESPECTIVE PARTIES (P) AND ALL INDEPENDENTS (I) IN THE SEVERAL CONSTITUENCIES AND ELECTORATES IN EACH CONSTITUENCY																							Electorate — 222,024 Votes Cast — 271,224																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																								
PARTIES	Port-of- Spain North	Port-of- Spain South	Port-of- Spain North- East	Port-of- Spain South- East	St. George West	La- ventille	San Juan	St. Joseph	Tuna- puna	St. George East	St. Andrew/ St. David	Trinidad/ Mayaro	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco/ Mucujay	Orinoco

Note: * Number of Seats secured
† Number of Seats contested

APPENDIX I

Names, Addresses, Occupations and Party Affiliations of Candidates by Constituency

(In column headed "Party" the abbreviations have the following meanings: P.O.P.P.G.—Party of Political Progress Groups; B.P.—Butler Party; P.N.M.—People's National Movement; P.D.P.—People's Democratic Party; C.N.L.P.—Caribbean National Labour Party; W.I.L.P.—West Indian Independent Party; T.L.P.-N.D.P.—Trinidad Labour Party and National Democratic Party Amalgamated; C.P.D.P.—Caribbean People's Democratic Party; and Ind.—Independent.)

PORT-OF-SPAIN NORTH

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Gomes, Albert Maria	...19, Valleton Avenue, Maraval	...Proprietor	...P.O.P.P.G.
Hamel-Smith, Raymond Percival	...The Cloisters, Chelsea, Cascade	...Barrister-at-Law	...C.N.L.P.
Lee, Ulic	...77, Mucurepo Road	...Trade Union Official	...P.N.M.

PORT-OF-SPAIN SOUTH

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Harris, Albert Owen	...3, French Street	...Teacher	...B.P.
Solomon, Patrick Vincent Joseph	...33n, Boisieres Village	...Medical Practitioner	...P.N.M.
Tang, Norman	...46, Murray Street	...Proprietor	...Independent

PORT-OF-SPAIN SOUTH-EAST

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Achong, Carlton Elliot	...12, Flament Street	...Barrister-at-Law	...P.O.P.P.G.
James, Aubrey Emmanuel	...18, Besson Street	...Public Accountant	...B.P.
Scott, Herman	...41a, Lavenille Road	...Proprietor	...C.N.L.P.
Williams, Eric E.	...22, Cornelio Street	...Author	...P.N.M.

PORT-OF-SPAIN NORTH-EAST

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Donaldson, John Shelford	...25, Observatory Street	...Retired Head Teacher and Music Teacher	P.N.M.
Durham, Irvine	...46, Roberts Street	...Orchestra Leader	...P.D.P.
Gomes, Ramalho Leonard	...44a, Oxford Street	...Factory Manager	...TLP-NDP
Kelly, James	...66, Norfolk Street	...Solicitor	...P.O.P.P.G.
O'Connor, Quintin	...70, Oxford Street	...Trade Union Official	...C.N.L.P.

APPENDIX I—CONTINUED

Names, Addresses, Occupations and Party Affiliations of Candidates by Constituency—Continued

76

ST. GEORGE WEST

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Brunton, Francis Albert Michael	...Rich Plain Estate, Diego Martin	...Proprietor	...Independent
de Freitas, McVorrin	...Morne Coco Road, Maraval	...Clerk	...TLP-NDP
Francis, Aldwin Gerard	...7, Phillips Street, Port-of-Spain	...Physician	...P.O.P.P.G.
O'Halloran, John Harold	...Flambouyant Avenue, Petit Valley	Company Director	...P.N.M.
Sinanan, Ashram	...Sierra Leone Road, Diego Martin	...Lawyer	...Independent
St. Rose, Dolly	...Main Road, Diego Martin	...Mechanic	...B.P.

LAVENTILLE

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Alexander, Simeon	...12, Vidale Street, St. James	...Fitter and Trade Union Official	...C.N.L.P.
Darmanis, Cisto Arthur	...Wells Street, Tunapuna	...Proprietor and Company Director	P.D.P.
Gittens, Cecil I.	...55, Frizgar Road, San Juan	...Accountant and Real Estate Broker	P.O.P.P.G.
Granado, Donald C.	...81, Eastern Main Road, Laventille	Storekeeper	...P.N.M.
Quevedo, Raymond	...121, Eastern Main Road, San Juan	Artiste	...TLP-NDP
Rigsby, Kathleen	...450, Jerningham Avenue, Belmont	Stenographer	...B.P.

SAN JUAN

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Fortune, Jose Ramon	...School Street, Carenage	...Certified Accountant	...TLP-NDP
Ghany, Saad Reginald	...8, 4th Street, Barataria	...Proprietor	...P.D.P.
McNish, Hubert Adolphus	...2, Eastern Main Road, San Juan	...Proprietor	...P.O.P.P.G.
Richards, Godfrey	...Knaggs Trace, Santa Cruz	...Salesman and Commission Agent	...B.P.
Wallace, Robert Edward	...23, 6th Avenue, Barataria	...Druggist	...P.N.M.

GENERAL ELECTIONS, 1956

APPENDIX I—CONTINUED

Names, Addresses, Occupations and Party Affiliations of Candidates by Constituency—Continued

ST. JOSEPH

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
de Costa, Rito Vasquez5, Eckel Avenue, Maraval	...Salesman	...T.L.P./NDP
Kangaloe, Vivian RaphaelSaddle Road, San Juan	...Company Director	...Independent
Maharaj, ChankaEl Socorro Road, San Juan	...Proprietor	...do.
Marshall, Darlington32, Salar Street, Aranguez Lands, San Juan	...Party Organiser	...B.P.
Mohammed, KamaluddinFarul Trace, San Juan	...Proprietor	...P.N.M.
Peter, Edward Davidson Teddy	...Queen Street, St. Joseph	...Stenographer	...Independent

TUNAPUNA

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Constantine, Lealie Nicholas	...Pointe-a-Pierre	...Lawyer	...P.N.M.
Harracksingh, Rattan Kumar	...Hackett Lane, Tunapuna	...Transport Supervisor	...Independent
Khan, Mohammed Abraham	...Champ Fleurs	...Life Insurance Agent	...C.N.I.P.
Mathura, Surujpat	...29, Rosalino Street, Woodbrook	...Journalist and Radio Announcer	...P.D.P.
Thorpe, Violet Amelia	...122, Eastern Main Road	...Tunapuna Social Worker	...F.O.P.P.G.

ST. GEORGE EAST

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Alcantara, Norman	...7, Alcantara Terrace, Port-of-Spain	Shipping and Travel Agent	...B.P.
Bomparte, Edward	...25, Queen Street, Arima	...Proprietor	...Independent
Brooks, John Stanley	...3, Woodford Street, Arima	...Teacher	...F.O.P.P.G.
Chinaieng, Raphael	...16, Queen Street, Arima	...Estate Manager	...T.L.P./NDP
John, George	...Reid Lane, d'Abadie	...Farmer	...Independent
La Rose, John Anthony	...19, Sanchez Street, Arima	...Secondary School Teacher	...W.I.L.P.
Maharaj, Jogdath	...34, Eastern Main Road, Arona	...Proprietor	...P.D.P.
Thomasos, Arnold C.	...11, Sanchez Street, Arima	...Pensioner	...P.N.M.

APPENDIX I—CONTINUED

Names, Addresses, Occupations and Party Affiliations of Candidates by Constituency—Continued

78

CARONI NORTH

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Maharaj, Karoo Ojah	...Las Lomas No. 2 via Arouca	...Proprietor	...Independent
Marañ, Bhadaee Sagan	...St. John's Road, Mount	do.	...P.D.P.
Persad, Indar	...St. Benedict Road, Tunapuna
Saith, Chunilal	...Kelly Village, Caroni	...Barrister-at-law	...Independent
Tewari, Pandit also called Dinanath	...Main Road, Chaguanas	...Proprietor	...P.N.M.
Ramcharan	Southern Main Road, Cunupia	do.	...B.P.

CARONI CENTRAL

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Ali, Ramzan	...El Socorro Road, San Juan	...Cane Farmer	...Independent
Dass, Ramnatar	...Waterloo Road, Carapichaima	...Motor Driver Instructor and	do.
Greene, Fred Arthur	...48, Main Road, Chaguanas	Proprietor	...
Kumar, Ranjit	...73, Edward Street, Port-of-Spain	...Druggist	...P.N.M.
Ragobir, Doon Emmanuel	...Chase Village	...Chartered Civil Engineer	...B.P.
Sinanan, Mitra Gokhale	...3, Sydney Street, Port-of-Spain	...Shopkeeper	...Independent
		...Barrister-at-law	...P.D.P.

CARONI SOUTH

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Campbell-Bryce, Frederick McDonald	Balmain Village, Couva	...Law Agent and Trade Union	Independent
Capildeo, Simbhoonath	...17, Luis Street, Woodbrook	Leader	...
Rose, Wilfred Andrew	...Hialop Street, San Juan	...Solicitor and Conveyancer	...P.D.P.
		...Agricultural Consultant and	P.N.M.
		Sanitary Engineer	...

GENERAL ELECTIONS, 1956

APPENDIX I—CONTINUED

Names, Addresses, Occupations and Party Affiliations of Candidates by Constituency—Continued

SAN FERNANDO EAST			
Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Banfield, Pelham14, Brown Street	...Tailor	...TLP-NDP
Donaldson, Robert Nathaniel	...23, Seukeran Street, Les Efforts West	Commercial School Principal and Accountant	C.P.D.P.
Herrera, Joseph Eugene Ivan	...23, London Street, St. Joseph Village	Company Director, and General Contractor	Independent
Joseph, Vernon10, Wooding Street, San Fernando	Contractor	...do.
Lee, Edward Adolphus45, Pointe-à-Pierre Road	...Dentist	...B.P.
Montano, Albert Gerard46-47, Montano Street	...Company Director	...P.N.M.
Rambaran Singh, Richard140, St. Joseph Street, San Fernando	Dry Goods Merchant	...Independent
Rojas, John Felix Ferdinand	...12, Navet Road	...Director of Research and Trade Union Education	C.N.L.P.
Webster, Hugh Barclay29A, Prince of Wales Street	...Auctioneer and Commission Agent	Independent

SAN FERNANDO WEST			
Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Greene, Allan Annisette5, Court Street, San Fernando	...Druggist-Proprietor	...F.O.P.P.G.
Jordan, AubreyDymally Lane, San Fernando	...Cabinet Maker	...B.P.
Joseph, Roy Adolphus92, Sutton Street, San Fernando	...Proprietor	...Independent
Mahabir, Winston14B, Royal Road, San Fernando	...Medical Practitioner	...P.N.M.
Seukeran, Ruth Kamala1, Christian Street, San Fernando	...Housewife and Proprietor	...Independent

NAPARIMA			
Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Gunness, RamsamoojMoheas Road Junction, Debe	...Proprietor	...P.D.P.
Lucky, Kelvin23, Edward Lee St., San Fernando	do.	...B.P.
McCollins, FitzroyHarris Village, Oropouche	...Grievance Officer	...C.N.L.P.
Mohammed, Oli23, Jarvis Street, San Fernando	...Clerk	...P.N.M.
Seukeran, Lionel Frank1 Christian Street, San Fernando	...Proprietor	...Independent

APPENDIX I—CONTINUED

Names, Addresses, Occupations and Party Affiliations of Candidates by Constituency—Continued

ST. PATRICK CENTRAL

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Alexis, Alexander Chamberlain	...Station Street, Siparia	...Bookkeeper and Business Manager	Independent
Jones, Leonard Irvine	...T.P.D., Palo Seco	...Accountant	...P.N.M.
Lesaldo, Fabion Sebastian	...Railway Road, Siparia	...Clerk	...C.N.L.P.
Mitchell, Charles Leo	...Erin Road, Siparia	...Proprietor and General Contractor	B.P.
Ramsaran, Benjamin	...32, Christian Street, San Fernando	Draughtsman	...Independent
Sounarine, Sousankar	...Debe, P.O.	...Barriester-at-law	...do.

ST. PATRICK WEST

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Butler, Tubal Uriah Buz	...Canaan Road, Point Fortin	...Party Boss	...B.P.
Hackshaw, John Milton	...Richardson Street, Point Fortin	...Party Organiser	...P.N.M.
Lynch, David Ignatius	...Adventure Road, Point Fortin	...Commission Agent	...Independent
Manmohansingh	...St. Marie Village, Cedros	...Businessman	...do.

ST. ANDREW-ST. DAVID

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Brown, Cyril Lancelot	...8, St. John Road, St. Augustine	...Dental Surgeon	...P.N.M.
Bryan, Victor	...7, Gaston Johnston Street, Port-of-Spain	Minister of Agriculture	...TLP-NDP
Mangatal, Joseph Thomas	...176a, Western Main Road, Cocorite	Teacher	...Independent
McLean, Pope Wilberforce	...244, Paris Boulevard, Laventille	...Party Organiser	...B.P.
Miller, Leonardo (Jnr.)	...Paris Main Road, Toco	...Proprietor	...P.D.P.

APPENDIX I—CONTINUED

Names, Addresses, Occupations and Party Affiliations of Candidates by Constituency—Continued

82

NARIVA/MAYARO

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
Baptiste, Mitchell NorvanGanteaume Village, Mayaro	...Proprietor and Contractor	...Independent
Boohlai, RamprasadRhydal Hall, Sangre Grande	...Agricultural and Landed Proprietor	P.D.P.
Campbell, Victor LionelMafeking Village, Mayaro	...Contractor	...P.N.M.
Dougdeen, KennethEcclesville, Rio Claro	...Agriculturist	...Independent
Maharajah, MahadeoNavet, Rio Claro	...Proprietor	...do.
Moonan, JosephRio Claro	...do.	...do.
Nathai, Babooramd'Ades Local Road, Rio Claro	...Businessman	...B.P.
Nurse, Cecil HenryNew Lands, Guayaguayare	...Mason	...TLP-NDP

TOBAGO

Name	Address	Occupation	Party
de Gale, LynnCanaan Road, Point Fortin	...Secretary, Butler Party and Taxi Owner	B.P.
James, Alphonso PhilbertYoung Street, Scarborough	...Proprietor	...TLP-NDP
Robinson, Arthur Napoleon Raymond	Main Street, Scarborough	...Barrister-at-law	...P.N.M.

GENERAL ELECTIONS, 1966

APPENDIX II

Registration Officers and Returning Officers by Constituency

Name	Constituency	Occupation	Official Address
H. W. Farrell	...Port-of-Spain North	...Town Clerk	...Town Hall, Port-of-Spain
A. F. Hewitt	...Port-of-Spain South	...Deputy Town Clerk	...do.
B. L. Johnson	...Port-of-Spain South-East	...City Assessor	...do.
A. C. Clarke	...Port-of-Spain North-East	...Retired Civil Servant	...46, Belle Eau Road, Belmont
R. J. d'Abadie	...St. George West	...Acting Senior Warden	...Warden's Office, Port-of-Spain
B. W. Colstain	...Laventille	...Barrister-at-law	...Health Centre, Morvant
R. G. Archibald	...San Juan	...Retired Civil Servant	...Warden's Office, Port-of-Spain
R. A. V. Philip	...St. Joseph	...Secretary, Central Water Distribution Authority	...Central Water Distribution Authority, St. Joseph
A. C. Francis-Lau	...Tunapuna	...Assistant Warden, St. George	...Warden's Office, Tunapuna
C. W. Imbert	...St. George East	...Retired Magistrate	...Warden's Office, Arima
L. E. Rousseau	...Caroni North	...Acting Assistant Warden, Caroni	...Warden's Office, Chaguanas
B. Sealy	...Caroni Central	...Social Welfare Officer	...do.
L. D. Punch	...Caroni South	...Warden, Caroni	...Warden's Office, Caroni
†E. G. Holder	...San Fernando East	...Deputy Town Clerk	...Town Hall, San Fernando
†L. McD. Christian	...San Fernando West	...Town Clerk	...do.
*A. A. Quinn	...San Fernando East	...Engineer	...Works and Hydraulics Office, San Fernando
*H. Rousseau	...San Fernando West	...Sub-Registrar	...Sub-Registry, San Fernando
Y. H. Knowles	...Naparima	...Warden, Victoria	...Warden's Office, San Fernando
G. E. Holder	...Ortoire/Moruga	...Acting Assistant Warden, Victoria	...Warden's Office, Princes Town
R. Bates	...Pointe-a-Pierre	...Engineer	...Works and Hydraulics Office, San Fernando
Y. de Verteuil	...St. Patrick East	...Acting Warden, St. Patrick	...Warden's Office, Siparia
F. R. Carter	...St. Patrick Central	...Engineer	...Works and Hydraulics Office, Siparia
*E. Hunte	...St. Patrick West	...Acting Assistant Warden, St. Patrick	...Warden's Office, Point Fortin
†J. Charles	...do.	...do.	...Warden's Office, La Brea
M. J. d'Abadie	...St. Andrew/St. David	...Warden, St. Andrew/St. David	...Warden's Office, Sangre Grande
P. J. Rodriguez	...Nariva/Mayaro	...Warden, Nariva/Mayaro	...Warden's Office, Rio Claro
G. B. Oitley	...Tobago	...Acting Warden, Tobago	...Warden's Office, Scarborough

* Served as Returning Officer only.

† Served as Registration Officer only.

Statement of Expenditure

	\$	c.
Staff for Head Office	3,305	01
Registration Officers	3,690	00
Assistant Registration Officers	3,105	00
Typists to Registration Officers	4,154	36
Supervisors of Enumerators	18,680	00
Enumerators	28,697	33
Messengers to Registration Officers	323	04
Rolling Off and Assembling Lists	6,593	07
Returning Officers	5,678	47
Election Clerks	3,975	84
Typists to Returning Officers	2,113	09
Messengers to Returning Officers	163	79
Travelling	17,388	09
Presiding Officers	24,675	08
Poll Clerks	15,512	21
Rent of Polling Stations	9,413	00
Messengers to deliver Ballot Boxes	730	00
Printing and Stationery	38,065	13
Typing Lists	11,231	45
Publicity and Advertisement	22,195	83
Miscellaneous	7,638	03
TOTAL	<u>\$226,327</u>	82